Division of Cancer Prevention (DCP)

Study Site Monitoring Manual

Master Agreement Holder (MAH)
Phase I and II Studies

November 2003

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Chapter</u>			<u>Page</u>		
1	INTRODUCTION				
	1.1 1.2	Purpose of Site Monitoring Purpose of this Manual	1-1 1-1		
		1.2.1 Manual Feedback	1-2		
2	DCP ORGANIZATIONAL OVERVIEW, DESCRIPTION OF PREVENTION TRIALS, AND SUMMARY OF CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBILITIES				
	2.1	Overview	2-1		
	2.2	Prevention Trials	2-1		
	2.3	DCP Organization	2-3		
	2.4	Prevention Protocol Management	2-3		
3	DCP STUDY STAFF ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES				
	3.1	Principal Investigator	3-1		
	3.2	Study Coordinator or Research Nurse	3-2		
	3.3	Pharmacist			
	3.4	DCP Medical Monitor			
	3.5	Organ System Group Nurse Specialist			
	3.6	Contract Officer			
	3.7	Clinical Research Associate	3-6		
4	PART	ΓICIPANT ENROLLMENT	4-1		
	4.1	Initiation of New Study	4-1		
	4.2	The Enrollment Process	4-1		
		4.2.1 Participant Recruitment	4-2		
		4.2.2 Initial Evaluation of Participant's Eligibility Using the			
		Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria	4-3		
		4.2.3 Obtaining Informed Consent	4-3		
	4.3	Assigning a Participant Identification Number (PID)	4-4		
	4.4	Determining Eligibility	4-4		
	4.5	Registering/Randomizing Participant	4-5		

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

<u>Chapter</u>			<u>Page</u>		
5	STUDY RECORD MAINTENANCE				
	5.1	Regulatory Binder	5-1		
		5.1.1 Regulatory Binder Checklist	5-1		
	5.2	Source Documentation	5-3		
		5.2.1 List of Source Documents	5-4 5-5		
	5.3	Case Report Forms	5-5		
		5.3.1 Completing a CRF	5-6		
	5.4 5.5	Case Report Form (CRF) Notebook	5-7 5-8		
6	SERIOUS ADVERSE EVENT REPORTING				
	6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4	Background			
7	PROTOCOL DEVIATION REPORTING				
	7.1 7.2 7.3 7.4	Background	7-1 7-1 7-2 7-3		
8	CHANGE IN PARTICIPANT STATUS				
	8.1	Off Study Agent	8-1		
		8.1.1 Required Followup for Off Study Agent Status	8-1 8-2		
	8.2	Death	8-2		

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

<u>Chapter</u>				<u>Page</u>		
9	SITE MONITORING VISITS BY WESTAT TEAM					
	9.1	Three Types of Site Visits				
		9.1.1 9.1.2 9.1.3	Initiation Visit	9-1 9-3 9-8		
			List of Appendixes			
<u>Appendix</u>				<u>Page</u>		
A	Accessing the Division of Cancer Prevention, Westat, and CCSA					
В	Glossary of Terms					
C	Form FDA 1572					
D	DCP Protocol Deviation Notification Form					
E	DCP Guidelines and Serious Adverse Event Report Form					
F	Initiation Visit Report Forms					
G	Annual Visit Report Forms					
Н	Close-out Visit Report Forms					
I	Pharmacy Audit					
J	Essential Documents for the Conduct of a Clinical Trial					
			List of Figures			
<u>Figure</u>						
2-1	DCP Matrix Organizational Chart					
4-1	Participant Enrollment Process					
6-1	SAE	Reporting	Process	6-4		

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose of Site Monitoring

Clinical trials site monitoring is the act of overseeing the progress of a clinical trial, and of ensuring that it is conducted, recorded, and reported in accordance with the protocol, Standard Operation Procedures (SOPs), Good Clinical Practice (GCP), and the applicable regulatory requirements. The FDA requires that clinical investigations involving human subjects be periodically monitored (21 CFR 312.56, Review of Ongoing Investigations). In order to fulfill this regulatory requirement, the Division of Cancer Prevention (DCP) representative, which is the Westat Team, periodically visits the Lead Organization to verify that:

- The rights and well-being of human subjects are protected;
- The study data are of the highest quality and integrity; and
- The study is in compliance with the currently approved protocol/amendments, GCP, and other regulatory requirements.

1.2 Purpose of this Manual

DCP created the *Study Site Monitoring Manual* for Master Agreement Holders (MAH) of Phase I and II studies to provide clinical study site staff conducting DCP studies with reference information about monitoring clinical research studies.

The user of this manual should have a basic understanding of the clinical research process. The manual does not replace protocol-specific instructions or procedures. This manual will be merged into a comprehensive Clinical Trials Resource (MAH portal in development), which will be on the DCP website and will be updated regularly.

The manual provides general information about DCP's mission and organization. Study staff roles and responsibilities are described. Participant enrollment and study record maintenance are outlined. Serious Adverse Events (SAEs), protocol deviations, and participant status changes are reviewed. The content of the various types of monitoring visits are delineated as well as the process for conducting the visits. A list of staff, key to the management of clinical trials, is provided as well as a Glossary of Terms.

1.2.1 Manual Feedback

Feedback about the manual content and organization can be directed to Detra Robinson at detrarobinson@Westat.com.

2. DCP ORGANIZATIONAL OVERVIEW, DESCRIPTION OF PREVENTION TRIALS, AND SUMMARY OF CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBILITIES

2.1 Overview

The goal of the National Cancer Institute (NCI) is to achieve a future where all cancers are controlled or eliminated, by stimulating and supporting research and its application. NCI is leading the world in defining the standard of cancer care and prevention. The Institute has six divisions, each specializing in a different aspect of cancer research. The Division of Cancer Prevention (DCP) is a growing, dynamic matrix organization committed to evidence-based cancer prevention research. The goals are to advance biomedical science, strengthen preventive medicine, and improve public health. Research is carried out through the positive, interactive efforts of all DCP staff dedicated to the success of the Division's activities.

2.2 Prevention Trials

Cancer prevention science seeks methods to reduce the risk, or chance, of developing cancer. Because carcinogenesis can take decades to manifest, it also provides time and opportunity to inhibit, retard, or reverse the process of carcinogenesis with lifestyle changes or the use of chemopreventive agents. Cancer prevention trial participants may have varying degrees of cancer risk. Participants may be at average risk or they may have some known risk factor or combination thereof, such as prior history of cancer, family history of cancer, inborn genetic mutation, or environmental exposure.

There are three types of trials: screening trials, control trials, and intervention trials. Intervention trials generally take one of two forms. Behavioral studies focus on finding out whether actions people take, such as exercise or smoking cessation, can prevent cancer. *Agent studies* focus on examining whether taking certain medicines, vitamins, minerals, or food supplements (or a combination of them), can prevent cancer.

- **Screening Trials:** The goals of screening trials are to develop tools for detecting cancer or pre-cancers before an individual becomes symptomatic and to see if early detection and treatment of disease improves the outcome. Screening can include:
 - Imaging tests (e.g., x-rays) that produce images of internal organs and tissues in the body;
 - Biological tests of the blood, urine, and other bodily fluids and tissues to find indicators of disease processes; and
 - Genetic tests that look for inherited genetic markers linked to certain types of cancers (e.g., BRCA1 gene mutation).
- Control Trials: A cancer-control trial assesses the effect of an intervention on cancer symptoms, side effects of cancer treatment, or the participant's quality of life. As with other clinical trials supported by DCP, the intervention can be pharmaceutical, nutriceutical, dietary, or behavioral.
- Chemoprevention Trials: Chemoprevention trials may be Phase I, Phase II, or Phase III studies.
 - Phase I chemoprevention trials are the first studies in people which evaluate how new agents should be given (by mouth, applied to the skin), how often, and what dose is safe. A Phase I trial usually enrolls only a small number of patients, sometimes as few as a dozen. DCP also administers a pre-clinical program (Rapid Access to Prevention Intervention Development Program) to foster cancer prevention agent development and move promising agents into early clinical trials.
 - Phase II chemoprevention trials are conducted in larger groups of participants who are at high risk for certain cancers. These continue to study the safety of the agent and begin to evaluate how well the new agent works; usually by measuring the agent's effect on biomarkers at the genetic, molecular, or tissue level. In other words, these studies do not aim to prove a decrease in cancer incidence, but rather to show an agent's effect on ongoing pre-cancerous processes. Phase II studies usually focus on a particular type of cancer. Frequently these trials are conducted using a placebo-controlled group.
 - Phase III chemoprevention trials are conducted either in populations at high risk for specific cancers or in participants from the general population. These studies test new agents, a combination of agents, or a new surgical procedure in comparison to the current standard or to a placebo. A participant will usually be assigned, at random, to the investigational group, to the standard group, or placebo. Phase III trials often enroll large numbers of participants and may require 5-10 years to reach the study end-point. Phase III trials may be conducted at physicians' offices, clinics, hospitals, or cancer centers nationwide.

2.3 DCP Organization

Peter Greenwald, M.D. is the Director of the Division of Cancer Prevention, and Leslie Ford, M.D. is the Acting Deputy Director and Associate Director for Clinical Research. DCP is organized into a matrix of eleven groups, seven Foundations of Prevention Research Groups, and four Organ System Research Groups. The Protocol Information Office (PIO) is the coordinating office for Cancer Prevention Studies. Linda Parreco, R.N., M.S. is the head of the PIO. All protocol activity from protocol development to final report submission is coordinated through the PIO. The PIO works closely with the Organ System Research Groups, the Chemopreventive Agent Development Research Group (CADRG), and the Community Oncology and Prevention Trials Research Group (COPTRG) to facilitate the research process for Principal Investigators conducting cancer prevention trials. The DCP Matrix Organizational Chart is shown as Figure 2-1 on page 2-4 and displays the organization of the division. A list of names, addresses, and telephone numbers of DCP staff are in Appendix A.

2.4 Prevention Protocol Management

There are three primary areas of protocol management:

- Protocol Development;
- Regulatory Affairs; and
- Study Site Monitoring.

DCP has enlisted the support of several contractors to assist with these activities. CCS Associates in Mountain View, California assists with protocol development and regulatory affairs and the Westat Team manages the study site monitoring, data management, and informatics activities.

The CCS Associates contractor is responsible for assisting the PIO, Research Group personnel, and study site staff with protocol development and management of regulatory issues during the conduct of a study. CCS Associates provides technical assistance with drafting, revising, and managing IND packages, and DCP sponsored New Drug Application (NDA) documents. Regulatory documents will be described in Chapter 5, Study Record Maintenance.

The Westat Team consists of staff with clinical trials monitoring experience, clinical trials data management experience, and clinical trials database informatics experience. Over the 5-year contract, the Westat Team will:

- Enhance the existing database, DCP Enterprise System Knowledgebase (DESK), and develop software applications to collect, analyze, and report the study data;
- Standardize site monitoring processes; and
- Provide consistent education and training to site staff about the conduct and management of clinical research trials.

A glossary of terms in Appendix B is provided to assist with definitions of DCP prevention terminology.




Figure 2-1. DCP Matrix Organizational Chart

3. DCP STUDY STAFF ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

Members of the study site research team usually include at least one of the following: Principal Investigator, study coordinator or research nurse, and pharmacist. Members of the research team at DCP include the Medical Monitor, Organ System Research Group Nurse Specialist, PIO staff, and Contract Officer.

The National Institutes of Health (NIH) mandates education on human subject participation for all investigators and research team members who apply for or receive NIH funds for research involving people. Each research team member must document completion of training in human subject protection and this documentation must be maintained at the site. This documentation must also be submitted to DCP prior to initiating a clinical trial. An online continuing education program is utilized by the National Cancer Institute to fulfill this requirement. The Human Participants Protection Education for Research Teams course is available online at the following website: http://cme.nci.nih.gov.

The following sections describe the roles of various research team members and tasks that are often performed by them or delegated to them. Though select tasks are delegated to the study coordinator, research nurse, or pharmacist, the Principal Investigator is ultimately responsible for the research conducted at the site.

3.1 Principal Investigator

The Principal Investigator (PI) is responsible for the overall conduct of research activities at the site. The PI is expected to comply with the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) and the International Conference on Harmonisation Guidelines for Good Clinical Practice (ICH/GCP). By signing the Form FDA 1572, the PI agrees to:

- Conduct the study(ies) in accordance with the relevant, current protocol(s) and will only make changes in a protocol after notifying the sponsor, except when necessary to protect the safety, rights, or welfare of subjects.
- Personally conduct or supervise the described investigation(s).
- Inform any participants, or any persons used as controls, that the agents are being used for investigational purposes and will ensure that the requirements relating to obtaining

informed consent in 21 CFR Part 50 and institutional review board (IRB) review and approval in 21 CFR Part 56 are met.

- Report to the sponsor adverse experiences that occur in the course of the investigation(s) in accordance with 21 CRF 312.64.
- Read and understand the information in the investigator's brochure, including the potential risks and side effects of the agent.
- Ensure that all associates, colleagues, and employees assisting in the conduct of the study(ies) are informed about their obligations in meeting the above commitments.
- Maintain adequate and accurate records in accordance with 21 CFR 312.62 and to make those records available for inspection in accordance with 21 CFR 312.68.
- Ensure that an IRB that complies with the requirements of 21 CFR Part 56 will be responsible for the initial and continuing review and approval of the clinical investigation.
- Promptly report to the IRB all changes in the research activity and all unanticipated problems involving risks to human subjects or others.
- Make no changes in the research without DCP and IRB approval except where necessary to eliminate apparent immediate hazards to human subjects.
- Agree to comply with all other requirements regarding the obligations of clinical investigators and all other pertinent requirements in 21 CFR Part 312.

NOTE: Refer to Section 9 of the Form FDA 1572 for complete information on investigator responsibilities. The instructions for completing the form are located at this link: http://www.fda.gov/cder/forms/1571-1572-help.html. The Form FDA 1572 can be found at http://forms.psc.gov/forms/FDA/fda.html or see Appendix C for a sample of the form.

3.2 Study Coordinator or Research Nurse

A well-implemented protocol is often attributable to an organized, responsible study coordinator or research nurse. The PI may delegate some or all of the following tasks to the study coordinator or research nurse. Under the PI's guidance, this person may:

- Prepare regulatory documentation.
- Ensure the study is conducted in compliance with protocol requirements.

- Maintain IRB correspondence and regulatory documentation.
- Recruit potentially eligible participants for clinical trials enrollment.
- Meet with study participants to review the details of study enrollment.
- Evaluate study participants for protocol eligibility.
- Ensure that informed consent has been obtained from the participants before initiating research-related activities.
- Develop strategies to retain study participants in a clinical trial.
- Schedule tests and appointments for participants within timeframes required by protocol.
- Validate participant height and weight for accurate dose determination.
- Send the prescriptions for study agent to the pharmacist.
- Complete Case Report Forms (CRFs) accurately, and retain a copy in the CRF Notebook.
- Maintain source documentation for each study participant in accordance with the protocol.
- Instruct and educate participants regarding study intervention modalities and anticipated side effects and their management.
- Provide guidance to the Principal Investigator, pharmacist, and participant on dose adjustments based on protocol dose modification section.
- Inform the pharmacist about any dose changes.
- Collect returned study agent and monitor participant dosing compliance.
- Identify abnormal laboratory results and obtain repeat evaluations as required by the protocol.
- Identify and document adverse events and serious adverse events.
- Initiate Serious Adverse Event Reports (SAEs) and obtain the PI's signature within the proper timeframes, notify proper individuals stated in the protocol, and fax report according to DCP procedures.
- Submit protocol and amendments, informed consent, protocol submission worksheet, Data Safety Monitoring Plan, and Case Report Forms to the DCP PIO for Review.

- Identify, document, and submit protocol deviations in accordance with DCP procedures.
- Respond to data queries in a timely manner.
- Contact appropriate DCP Organ System Research Nurse Specialist with questions regarding study implementation.
- Update PI on study status.

3.3 Pharmacist

The pharmacist or designated qualified staff member is accountable for:

- Study agent supply, storage, preparation, dispensation, and disposal;
- Accountability records and record security;

Retain:

- 1. Instructions for ordering study agent;
- 2. Shipping receipts and return records;
- 3. NCI Drug Accountability Record Forms (DARFs); and
- 4. Transfer Forms.
- Agent administration record;
- Maintenance of blinded study integrity; and
- Instruction to the care provider on the proper method of agent administration.

3.4 DCP Medical Monitor

The Medical Monitor is usually a physician who is a member of the DCP staff. She or he belongs to one of the Organ System Research Groups or one of the Foundations of Prevention Research Groups. The Medical Monitor's responsibilities include:

 Managing scientific portfolios of grants, contracts, and other long-term projects in a distinct area of cancer prevention science;

- Ensuring the quality and scientific integrity of protocol design, implementation, and data;
- Reviewing protocols;
- Reviewing Serious Adverse Events Reports and Deviations;
- Participating in the development of work statements; and
- Serving as a resource to study PIs and site staff for protocol-specific clarification.

3.5 Organ System Research Group Nurse Specialist

The Organ System Research Group Nurse Specialist is a registered nurse with advanced knowledge in the conduct of clinical research studies. The Nurse Specialist responsibilities include:

- Serving as a resource and liaison to site staff conducting cancer prevention research;
- Participating in the management of cancer prevention research protocols;
- Participating in DCP project teams and work groups; and
- Updating PI on study status.

3.6 Contract Officer

The Contract Officer is a staff member of DCP responsible for the performance of complex pre-award and post-award contracting functions. The Contract Officer is the only representative authorized by the United States to enter into contracts (i.e., commit Federal funds) and administer them. The Contract Officer's acts are binding. The Contract Officer's responsibilities include:

- Providing guidance and technical assistance to program personnel who are involved in the planning and development of specifications, descriptions, and statements of work;
- Reviewing and evaluating requests for acquisitions, analyzing requirements, and determining adequacy and completeness of requests; recommending and/or making revisions;
- Recommending or deciding on the types of contracts;
- Coordinating the establishment of a peer review of proposals;

- Analyzing proposals, evaluating technical, cost/price data, and other factors, and determining reasonableness; and
- Working with DCP officials to develop negotiation strategies.

3.7 Clinical Research Associate

The Clinical Research Associate (CRA) is an appropriately qualified person, by training and experience, who is responsible for ensuring that clinical trials are conducted according to the Code of Federal Regulations and the International Conference on Harmonisation Guidelines for Good Clinical Practice. The CRA represents DCP in the monitoring process at the Lead Organization. The CRA is responsible for verifying/assuring:

- The acceptability and accuracy of the Investigator and site's qualifications;
- The acceptability of the agent storage facilities;
- Adequacy of clinical supplies;
- The initial and ongoing acceptability of the investigation site facilities;
- The investigational agents are supplied only to participants who are eligible to receive them, and according to the dosing specified in the protocol;
- Participants are given the necessary instructions on properly using, handling, storing, and returning the study agent;
- The receipt, use, and return of the investigational agents at the sites are controlled and documented accurately;
- The appropriate disposition of unused clinical trial supplies;
- The study site research team complies with the protocol, applicable regulatory requirements, and GCPs;
- Informed consent was obtained prior to each participant's participation in the trial;
- Study site staff are adequately informed and receive all trial documents and supplies to enable them to properly conduct the trial;
- The Principal Investigator has appropriately delegated his/her authority;
- The Principal Investigator is enrolling only eligible participants;

- Accurate reporting of the participant's enrollment rate;
- Accurate, complete, and current source documents and trial records are maintained;
- The Principal Investigator provides all the required reports, notifications, applications, and IRB submissions, and that these documents are accurate, complete, timely, legible, and dated;
- The accuracy and completeness of the Case Report Form (CRF) relative to the source documentation:
- Appropriate reporting of Adverse Events (AEs) and Serious Adverse Events (SAEs);
- Protocol changes/deviations are documented and reported to DCP and Institutional Review Board (IRB); and
- Significant protocol deviations are reported to the Principal Investigator, with appropriate action taken to prevent the recurrence of the detected deviations.

4. PARTICIPANT ENROLLMENT

4.1 Initiation of New Study

Prior to initiating a MAH Phase I and II new study, the following approvals and materials must be obtained or in process and the appropriate site staff should also be prepared to facilitate each of the following:

- DCP approval of clinical protocol, informed consent, CRF, Biomarker Methods Validation Report, and Data and Safety Monitoring Plan;
- All required regulatory documents and other requested documents submitted to DCP;
- DCP sponsored IND trials: 30-day waiting period following FDA submission of IND with no clinical holds placed by the FDA;
- IRB approval granted and letter/documentation sent to DCP;
- Executable contract with the lead organization;
- Study agent supply onsite;
- Case Report Forms present and available for use;
- Initiation site visit with Westat CRA, DCP staff and involved study site staff (as required by DCP);
- Copies of the IRB/DCP-approved informed consent forms and recruitment materials available for the research team to provide to potential participants;
- Procedures for collection, shipping, and processing of laboratory specimens; and
- Participant Identification (PID) logbook and screening log onsite.

4.2 The Enrollment Process

Once the initiation visit has taken place and the site is prepared logistically to conduct the study, the enrollment process may begin (see Figure 4-1). Enrollment refers to the tasks that each site undertakes to initiate participant accrual beginning with recruitment and followed by a review of potentially eligible participants.

4.2.1 Participant Recruitment

Recruitment for DCP chemoprevention trials will occur in different ways depending upon the particular study, research site, and creativity of assigned recruitment staff. Some participants may be recruited through primary care practices and specialty practices such as dermatology or urology. Other participants may be accessed through oncology clinics. General media or specific outreach methods can be utilized to recruit members of the public. Each site is responsible for developing a recruitment plan, recruitment materials, and methods to retain study participants as necessary. All participant recruitment materials must be IRB-approved prior to use.

Participant Enrollment Process




Figure 4-1. Participant Enrollment Process

It is helpful for site staff to recognize why people decide to participate in cancer chemoprevention clinical trials and recognize some of their reservations. Potential participants may want

to take a more active role in their health care and/or receive regular medical attention, or they may simply want to assist in the gathering of medical knowledge. On the other hand, they may worry about perceived and/or real side effects, payment issues, and being viewed as "guinea pigs." The process of informed consent starts with the recruitment phase of a study.

4.2.2 Initial Evaluation of Participant's Eligibility Using the Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria

A general assessment of the participant's potential eligibility should be made to determine if further screening is warranted. All study sites are expected to comply with the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) Privacy Rule. Tests and procedures to confirm eligibility can only be done once the participant has signed the informed consent.

4.2.3 Obtaining Informed Consent

Every effort must be made to protect the rights of the study participants. An investigator may not involve a participant in research (including tests to evaluate eligibility) unless the investigator or his/her representative has obtained a signed DCP- and IRB-approved informed consent document . An investigator should ask for such informed consent only under circumstances that provide the prospective participant sufficient opportunity to consider whether or not to participate.

NOTE: Participants who are minors or who cannot make their own health care decisions will need a legal representative to provide consent. Assent requirements may also apply. For further information on assent requirements, consult your local institution and/or state regulations.

Obtaining informed consent is more than obtaining a signature on a form. It is a process designed to:

- Provide the participant with current and ongoing information about the study;
- Ensure the participant understands the information that has been presented and has an opportunity to ask questions;
- Discuss the participant's rights as outlined in the consent form;

- Allow the participant the opportunity to agree or disagree to take part in the study;
- Allow the participant the opportunity to freely withdraw from the study in the future; and
- Allow the participant the opportunity to allow or refuse to have their biologic samples stored and used for future research.

NOTE: During a site monitoring visit, the Clinical Research Associate (CRA) will check the date the participant or legal representative signed the informed consent, and whether that signature was obtained on or before the date(s) that any screening or other study-related procedures were conducted. The CRA will also review the date an informed consent form was approved by the IRB and will determine whether a participant's signature was obtained after IRB approval.

4.3 Assigning a Participant Identification Number (PID)

Once a participant has been identified as potentially eligible for enrollment in the study, and pre-entry clinical/laboratory evaluations have been scheduled, the participant will be assigned a PID. Each clinical study site will develop its own PID system. One example of a system would be to use a unique four or five digit number. Once a participant has been assigned a PID number, that number never changes. If the participant is enrolled in future stages of the study, he/she will retain that PID number. If the participant does not enroll, that PID number will not be reassigned. The PID logbook that contains both participants' names and PID numbers must be kept in a locked, secure place.

NOTE: DCP will comply with the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) Privacy Rule in order to protect the privacy of research participants. This rule became effective in April 2003. This rule states that initials and full birth date are identifying information. Therefore, any participant-related materials that will be seen outside of the research site (i.e., investigator progress reports or SAE reports) should include only the PID number, year of birth, gender, and race.

4.4 Determining Eligibility

Once a participant is identified as a potential candidate for a study and has signed the informed consent document, the screening (or pre-entry) to fully evaluate and confirm eligibility begins.

This eligibility evaluation may include laboratory and/or clinical tests. The results of the tests determine whether the participant satisfies the inclusion/exclusion criteria of the protocol. All screening evaluations are performed prior to the participant's registration.

All participants that undergo screening for a study must be recorded in a study-specific screening log. If a participant is found to be ineligible or otherwise does not enroll in the study, the reason for this must be stated in the log.

Participants who sign the informed consent document, but who are not eligible for the study due to the inclusion or exclusion criteria, must be told why they cannot participate in the clinical trials. This is often done by the research nurse or study coordinator. The reason(s) for ineligibility must be recorded in the participant's study chart and should include a note indicating the understanding of the participant.

After the eligibility evaluation is complete, use the protocol-specific Eligibility Checklist (a type of CRF) to document that the participant fulfills the inclusion/exclusion criteria of the protocol. If the participant is eligible for the protocol, complete the study enrollment form (another CRF) and the participant is ready for registration.

NOTE: During a site monitoring visit, the CRA will check the Eligibility Checklist CRF against the source documentation. The CRA may also ask to review the screening log.

4.5 Registering/Randomizing Participants

The mechanism for officially registering and randomizing participants onto a DCP study will vary depending upon the protocol. The person responsible for randomizing participants also will differ with each protocol. For example, if a pharmaceutical company is involved in the study and is charged with randomization responsibilities, site staff may be required to call or fax the eligibility and enrollment forms to that company. In other instances, the research pharmacist at the site may be responsible for randomization. DCP does not perform the function of registering and randomizing participants. Therefore, it is critical that site staff assess eligibility criteria carefully, as eligibility may be checked only at the time of the annual site monitor visit. During site monitoring visits, participant eligibility will be one of the main items assessed by the CRA.

5. STUDY RECORD MAINTENANCE

One of the primary responsibilities of the Westat Clinical Research Associate (CRA) during a site visit is to review the study records and ensure that they are complete and accurate. This chapter describes the different types of study records and what the Westat CRA will review during a site visit.

5.1 Regulatory Binder

The Regulatory Binder contains all study-specific information and regulatory documentation. The Binder does not include completed Case Report Forms (CRFs) or signed informed consent forms. The terms Study Binder, Investigator Binder, Administrative Binder, Regulatory Files, and Investigator's Study Files are used synonymously to describe the Regulatory Binder. The Regulatory Binder may take the form of file folders, a 3-ring binder, a filing system, or a combination of these organizational methods. The site keeps all original informed consents that have been signed by participants. It is recommended these be maintained in a separate binder.

Typically the Regulatory Binder contains the elements described in the Regulatory Binder Checklist. The order and organization of the documents may vary from site to site. During a site visit, the CRA will expect to review the regulatory binder to ensure its completeness.

5.1.1 Regulatory Binder Checklist

The following documents should be found in the Regulatory Binder, though the order may vary by site:

- Protocol and Amendments (all versions)
- Investigator brochure (all versions)
- Case Report Forms (a blank set of CRFs that can be duplicated)
- Form FDA 1572s (all versions)

- Curriculum Vitae (CV) and documentation of professional licensure of all investigators
- Human Subject Protection Training Documentation
- Financial Disclosure Forms for anyone listed on the 1572
- IRB and NCI approval documentation for:
 - The protocol (all versions);
 - Protocol amendments (all versions);
 - Informed consent form document (original and all versions);
 - Other written (educational) materials provided to the participants;
 - Continuation of the study (based on annual or periodic reviews); and
 - Study advertising.
- IRB Correspondence
 - Notification of new safety information and the IRB's recommendations pertaining to this information; and
 - IRB roster and credentials of IRB members.
- NCI Correspondence
- Informed Consent
 - Original copies of IRB-approved versions
 - Original copies of NCI –approved versions

NOTE: Original, signed informed consents are usually kept in the participant's medical records or research records, and not in the Regulatory Binder.

- Serious Adverse Events and IND Safety Reports
- Signature Log (Site Personnel Signature Sheet)

NOTE: This is a list of the signatures and initials of all persons authorized to make entries and/or corrections on CRFs.

■ Participant Identification Log

NOTE: This is a confidential list of the names of all participants with their study identification number. It is maintained only at the site, and allows the investigator or institution to quickly identify study participants in the case of an emergency.

Participant Screening Log/Registration Log

NOTE: This documents the chronological screening/enrollment of participants.

- Clinical Laboratory Certification (if required) and normal ranges
- Study Agent Documentation
 - Agent Shipment and Receipt Records/Forms;
 - Accountability Logs; and
 - NCI Drug Accountability Record Forms (DARFs).

NOTE: Study agent documentation may be kept in the pharmacy, and not in the Regulatory Binder.

■ Study Closeout Information

5.2 Source Documentation

Source documents are the original records of participant information (e.g., the medical record) and contain all the information related to a participant's protocol participation. Source documents are used to verify the integrity of the study data, to verify participant eligibility, and to verify that mandatory protocol procedures were followed. An investigator is required to prepare and maintain adequate and accurate documentation that records all observations and other data pertinent to the investigation for each individual participating in the study. All data recorded in the research record must originate in the participant's medical record.

5.2.1 List of Source Documents

Source documents may include but are not limited to the following items.

- Institutional, research, clinic, or office records containing:
 - Inpatient and outpatient medical records;
 - Progress notes;
 - Consults;
 - Nursing notes;
 - Pathology reports;
 - Radiology reports;
 - Medicine/radiation administration records;
 - Surgical Reports;
 - Laboratory results;
 - Admission forms;
 - Flow sheets that are signed and dated;
 - Discharge summaries
 - Protocol or study road maps;
 - Appointment books; and
 - Participant diaries/calendars.
- Relevant participant-specific written communication from non-study, health care providers, including comments related to past medical history, entry criteria, or other referral or followup information;
- Participant-specific correspondence, such as documented telephone calls, email messages, and faxes; and
- Obituaries, autopsy reports, and death certificates.

5.2.2 Source Documentation Guidelines

Source documents substantiate Case Report Form information. All participant case records (e.g., flow sheets, clinical records, physician notes, correspondences, etc.) must adhere to the following standards:

- Participant's name, date of birth, or medical record number included on each page;
- Legibly written in ink or clearly labeled;
- Signed and dated in a real time basis by health care practitioner evaluating or treating the participant;
- Corrections made with a single line through the error, and then initialed and dated;
 and
- In addition, all laboratory reports, pathology reports, x-rays, and scans must have:
 - Complete identifying information (name and address of the organization performing, analyzing, and/or reporting the results of the test); and
 - Range of normal values for each result listed.

5.3 Case Report Forms

Participant information that relates to a clinical study is transferred from the source documents to Case Report Forms (CRFs). The PI or designee for each individual DCP study typically develops the CRFs for use in a particular study. However, DCP does provide sample CRF templates for use with MAH Phase I and II DCP chemoprevention trials. These templates contain recommended content and formats and may be downloaded (http://www3.cancer.gov/prevention/pio/crf-forms.pdf or wwwd.cancer.gov/prevention/pio/crf-forms.pdf or wwwd.cancer.gov/prevention/pio/crf-forms.pdf or wwwd.cancer.gov/prevention/pio/crf-forms.pdf or wwwd3.cancer.gov/prevention/pio/crf-forms.pdf or www3.cancer.gov/prevention/pio/crf-forms.pdf or www3.cancer.gov/prevention/pio/crf-forms.pdf or https://www3.cancer.gov/prevention/pio/crf-form

http://grants.nih.gov/grants/funding/women min/guidelines amended 10 2001.htm

The Westat CRA will review participant CRFs to ensure that they are being filled out properly. The CRA will also verify that all data entered on the CRFs can be validated by information in the source documents. The CRA will also review the source documents to ensure that the pertinent information is included on the CRFs.

5.3.1 Completing a CRF

- Any assigned member of the study staff that has signed the Signature Form in the Regulatory Binder may complete a CRF.
- CRFs should be completed within 1 week after the relevant information becomes available (i.e., the participant completes the visit or the laboratory results have been received).
- Enter information on the CRF with an ink (preferably black) pen only.
- The information documented on the CRF **must be identical** to the information found in the source document (i.e., participant charts, laboratory result printouts).

NOTE: All source documents and CRFs must be available for verification by the Westat Team CRA during site monitoring visits.

- If the information is **missing**, enter "ND" (no data) in the boxes/space. If the information is **unknown**, write "UNK" in the boxes/space. Entries of 'Missing' or 'Unknown' information must be explained in the source document (i.e., nurse's or clinic notes) for future verification.
- When boxes are provided for a response, please be sure to clearly mark the box to be selected with a ✓ or —. Make sure the mark is clear.
- Corrections are made in ink by crossing out the incorrect entry with a single horizontal line, placing the correct information next to the error, and providing an initial and date next to the correction. Do not backdate. Do not use any type of correction fluid or erase any entries on the forms.
- Do not write in the margins of the Case Report Forms. Any relevant additional information may be provided in the appropriate "comments" section.
- Avoid the use of abbreviations.
- CRFs are required for the following participants:
 - All participants who received a procedure required by protocol after signing informed consent; and

- All participants who have been randomized.

NOTE: CRFs are not required for participants screened and found to be ineligible.

5.4 Case Report Form (CRF) Notebook

Case Report Forms (CRFs) contain participant information related only to the study. Each participant has a Case Report Form Notebook (or another system to organize the participant's CRFs).

The CRF Notebook is arranged in a protocol-specific logical order. The forms in each section may be arranged chronologically or in reverse chronological order. In either case, there must be consistency throughout the notebook.

Each CRF should have complete participant identifying information and dated and signed entries. Each notebook should be organized into the following sections:

- 1. Demographic Information
- 2. Pretreatment Section
 - Eligibility checklist
 - Registration/randomization forms
 - Confirmation of registration
 - On Study Form
 - Pathology Transmittal Forms
 - All other required forms to be completed and/or submitted prior to treatment
- 3. Intervention Section (arranged by cycle, study week, or other time point)
 - Procedure forms and/or flow sheet
 - Concomitant medications
 - Adverse Event and Serious Adverse Event Reports
 - Lab Data

- 4. Tumor Evaluation/Response to Intervention
 - Radiology Forms
 - Cytology Report
 - Pathology results
 - Bone Marrow aspiration results
- 5. Serious Adverse Events
 - Copy of supporting and followup documentation
- 6. Off Study
 - Off study forms
- 7. Followup Forms
 - Death Report form
 - Late adverse events documentation
 - Correspondence relating to participant status (relapse, additional treatment, etc.)

5.5 Record Retention

The Department of Health and Human Services and the Food and Drug Administration has regulations related to retention of protocol records.

- The Department Health and Human Services Regulations (45 CFR 46.115) applies for all research conducted or supported by any Federal department or agency. This regulation states that IRB records relating to research conducted shall be retained for at least 3 years after completion of the research. The FDA regulation (21 CFR 56.115) is virtually identical; it also states that IRB Records must be retained for at least 3 years after completion of the research.
- Trials with a Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Investigational New Drug Application (IND) must additionally comply with 21 CFR 312.57 and 21 CFR 312.62. These regulations apply to investigational agent records, investigator financial interest records, and patient case histories. Both of these regulations require that the sponsor retain records and reports for 2 years after a marketing application is approved for the agent. If an application is not approved for the agent, the sponsor retains records and reports until 2 years after shipment and delivery of the agent for investigational use is discontinued and FDA has been so notified.
- The contract awarded for each study indicates how long records are retained for that study.

6. SERIOUS ADVERSE EVENT REPORTING

6.1 Background

The purpose of this document is to acquaint site personnel to the DCP requirements for identifying, documenting, and reporting Serious Adverse Events (SAEs) for the MAH Phase I and II studies. Further, this document provides orientation to the roles and responsibilities for the site, DCP personnel, and DCP contractors.

Adverse Events (AEs) are untoward clinical events experienced by a study participant while taking part in a clinical trial. Such events may be abnormal laboratory values, physical signs, or symptoms. An AE becomes a SAE when it results in any one of the following outcomes:

- Death:
- Life-threatening event;
- In-patient hospitalization or prolongation of existing hospitalization;
- Persistent or significant disability/incapacity;
- A congenital anomaly/birth defect; or
- An important medical event that may not result in death, be life threatening, or require hospitalization, though, based upon appropriate medical judgement, may jeopardize the participant and may require medical or surgical intervention to prevent one of the previously identified outcomes.

NOTE: Grade 3 events (per NCI Common Toxicity Criteria Version 2.0) that fit the above criteria will be treated as SAEs. These can be found at http://ctep.info.nih.gov/reporting/CTC-3.html. All Grade 4 events will be considered SAEs.

DCP has hired a company to provide technical and regulatory support to the Division. This "contractor," CCS Associates (CCSA) of Mountain View, CA, assists DCP in assessing, tracking, and reporting SAEs.

6.2 Site's Responsibility in Reporting SAEs to DCP

In the interest of participant safety in DCP studies and to fulfill regulatory requirements, *all* SAEs, *whether related to the study agent or not*, will be reported to the sponsor (NCI/DCP) as follows:

- Contact the DCP Medical Monitor by telephone or fax within 24 hours of learning of the SAE. When calling or faxing, please include date, time, your name, phone number, affiliation, reason for calling/faxing, NCI contract and protocol number.
- Submit a written report within 48 hours of the Principal Investigator learning of the event.
 - The written information shall be documented on the "NCI Division of Cancer Prevention Serious Adverse Event Form."
 - Form is available in Appendix C and/or http://www3.cancer.gov/prevention/pio/instructions.html
 - Send the completed form to the DCP Medical Monitor as indicated in the protocol document.
 - Simultaneously submit the form to CCSA:

Kathleen Dolan, RN, MS CCS Associates, Inc. 2005 Landing Drive Mountain View, CA 94043 Kdolan@ccsainc.com

- All SAEs must be entered in the Adverse Event Case Report Form.
- All SAEs are listed in the 'Cumulative Adverse Event' section of the 'Investigator Technical Progress Report' http://www3.cancer.gov/prevention/pio/instructions.html.
- The PI must report all SAEs to the local IRB according to institutional guidelines.

6.3 DCP Processing and Reporting Responsibility to FDA

In its role as IND sponsor, NCI, DCP, is required to review and analyze all SAE reports for impact on participant safety in the study. The DCP Medical Monitor immediately reviews all SAEs to determine if the event is related to the study agent and is unexpected. If the Medical Monitor determines that these criteria exist, the FDA requires the IND sponsor to file an expedited report to the FDA as soon

as possible but no later than 15 days. If the event is unexpected and fatal or life-threatening and associated with the use of the study agent, then the FDA must be notified as soon as possible but not later than 7 calendar days after the initial receipt of the information. This report, known as a 'IND safety report' will be circulated to all investigators participating in trials using the agent. CCSA assists the Medical Monitor by ensuring that all required information is obtained from the site and performs as a liaison with the FDA. See Figure 6-1 for the SAE reporting process.

6.4 SAEs and Site Monitoring

- During a site visit, the DCP/Westat CRA will ensure that site staff has:
 - Verifiable source documentation to support the SAE;
 - Appropriately filed the SAE documentation with DCP and CCS Associates;
 - Recorded the SAE on the appropriate CRF; and
 - Notified the local IRB.
- If the CRA identifies any unreported SAEs during a monitoring visit, the site staff will report and document the information with guidance from the CRA.




Figure 6-1. SAE reporting process

7. PROTOCOL DEVIATION REPORTING

7.1 Background

DCP, as a protocol sponsor, is responsible for implementing and maintaining quality assurance/quality control Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) to ensure that studies are conducted according to the protocol (compliance), Good Clinical Practice (GCP) and all applicable regulatory and DCP requirements. A protocol deviation is any noncompliance with the protocol, Good Clinical Practice, regulatory standards, or DCP requirements. The noncompliance may be either on the part of the participant, the investigator, or the study site staff. The term "deviation" is not to be confused with the term "deficiency." The term deficiency is used by the CRA to assess site performance at a monitoring visit.

It is the responsibility of the site staff to identify deviations as they occur and to report deviations to the DCP Medical Monitor. Corrective actions are developed by the site and implemented promptly. These practices are consistent with Good Clinical Practice §4.5.1, §4.5.2, §4.5.3, §5.1.1, §5.20.1, and §5.20.2.

The relationship of deviation reporting to monitoring visits is that frequently the clinical research associate (CRA) identifies deviations during a study site-monitoring visit. If this occurs, the CRA will instruct the study site staff to report the deviation to the Medical Monitor and local IRBs. While deviations may be discovered during a site visit, sites should not rely on the monitoring visit alone to identify and report deviations. It remains the responsibility of the site to use continuous vigilance in the detection and reporting of deviations to DCP as soon as they occur.

7.2 Purpose

The identification and reporting of deviations critically impacts both the conduct and analysis of a clinical trial. For example, a consistent pattern of a particular deviation may reveal the need to amend the protocol to improve participant compliance. Numerous deviations related to the collection of safety data may impact the analysis of study data. Recognizing trends and patterns of deviations allow the Principal Investigator to correct operational issues and to perform continuous quality improvement.

Standardizing the process of detecting and reporting deviations will promote the following outcomes:

- Early identification of deviation trends that require corrective action by the study site staff;
- Rapid correction of protocol problems (when appropriate) in response to deviation trends;
- Prompt (i.e., real time) reporting by site staff of protocol deviations;
- More accurate statistical analysis of the protocol outcomes with integration of deviations data;
- Consistent followup of corrective action to evaluate effectiveness;
- Consistent expectations for monitoring DCP-sponsored protocols for compliance;
- Identification of study site staff educational needs; and
- Performance data for annual site contract evaluation.

7.3 Procedure

The expected outcome would be that the study site staff is knowledgeable about the protocol, and follows the protocol as outlined. If the study staff has questions about the protocol, the Principal Investigator, DCP Medical Monitor, or Nurse Specialist should be consulted. (Check Appendix A for the telephone numbers and email addresses of the DCP staff.)

- When a protocol deviation is identified, the PI, or designee:
 - Documents the deviation and specifies the sections of the protocol related to the deviation, using the Protocol Deviation Notification Form in Appendix D;
 - Describes corrective action taken to minimize the risk of a repeat occurrence of the deviation;
 - Signs the completed Protocol Deviation Notification Form; and
 - Faxes the completed Protocol Deviation Notification Form to the DCP Medical Monitor. See Appendix A, Accessing the DCP and Westat Team.

- Upon receipt of the Protocol Deviation Notification Form, the Medical Monitor or designee will:
 - Confirm the deviation is a bona fide protocol deviation;
 - Review the corrective action plan and determine if the plan is acceptable or requires additional action plans. If additional action plans are required, work with the PI or designee to ensure that appropriate corrective action plans are developed and implemented;
 - Sign the Protocol Deviation Notification Form;
 - Fax the Protocol Deviation Notification Form to Westat. See Appendix A, Accessing the DCP and Westat Team; and
 - Follow up with site staff to ensure that the corrective action plan is implemented and no other deviations of that type have occurred.
- Upon receipt of the Protocol Deviation Notification Form, the Westat Project Director will:
 - Distribute a copy of the completed Protocol Deviation Notification Form to the study site;
 - Review the deviation and corrective action with the audit manager and the CRA for the site. There may be collaboration with the site staff, DCP Medical Monitor, and Westat staff to resolve outstanding issues related to the action plans and/or implementation of the action plans;
 - Log the deviation information into the Deviation Database;
 - Distribute the Protocol Deviation Notification Form to CCS Associates; and
 - Create standardized reports according to needs identified by DCP, such as deviation type, frequency, severity, etc.

7.4 Examples of Deviations

Deviations, or noncompliance, may result from the action of the participant, investigator, or study site staff. Frequently noncompliance is not attributable to an error and should not be viewed as punitive in nature; it is simply an occurrence that deviates from, or does not comply with the protocol. In other situations, the deviation may have a more serious impact on the conduct or the outcome of the trial. Repeated deviations of a similar type are particularly important as they may signal the need for change

(i.e., protocol, operations, communication). Deviations are viewed as an opportunity to make improvements and may signal the need for education.

The following are provided as samples of noncompliance with the protocol, GCP, or DCP guidelines that should be reported as deviations:

- Missed study assessments according to the required protocol guidelines;
- Errors in dispensing the study agent (i.e., wrong dose, wrong time, wrong participant, wrong agent);
- Informed consent not obtained prior to enrollment or failure to use the correct version of the informed consent;
- Consent form is missing, or consent form was not signed and dated by participant;
- Consent form does not include updates of information distributed as an amendment to the protocol;
- Missing Case Report Forms or repeated missing data on Case Report Forms;
- Adverse Event or Serious Adverse Event not reported according to DCP guidelines;
- Participant enrolled in a study who did not meet the eligibility criteria as specified in the protocol;
- Source documents are missing or are lacking intervention to support data reported on the CRF;
- Additional agent used which is not permitted by protocol;
- Unjustified dose modifications or failure to modify doses according to protocol;
- Unjustified (and/or undocumented) delays in procedures; and
- Protocol never approved by IRB, or other IRB violations.

8. CHANGE IN PARTICIPANT STATUS

8.1 Off Study Agent

A study participant may discontinue use of a study agent but continue to be followed in a study. In this case, the participant's status becomes "Off Study Agent," and the participant continues to be followed as specified by the protocol. Participants who complete the protocol interventions and any protocol-specified followup period or evaluations are also considered "Off Study Agent." Reasons why participants may stop a study agent include:

- Completed protocol-prescribed intervention;
- AE/SAE;
 - Inadequate agent supply (e.g., participant had no agent or site had no agent)
 - Non-compliant participant (includes refused study agent and/or assessments)
- Concomitant medication;
- Medical contraindication (e.g., pregnancy); and
- Other.

When a participant is permanently discontinued from the study agent, the final study visit, clinical, and laboratory evaluations must be obtained as specified in the protocol. All study agents or supplies need to be returned to the site staff.

8.1.1 Required Followup for Off Study Agent Status

The study forms required at the time of permanent discontinuation of a study agent are specified in the "Off Study Agent" section in the protocol. The procedures and/or clinical evaluations completed for "Off Study Agent" is specified in the protocol and should be consistent with the endpoints described in the objectives and statistical analysis sections of the protocol.

8.1.2 Off Study

Participants who are considered to be "off study" are those who are permanently discontinued from study agent and do not wish to participate in the study any longer. They do not require followup. The following are some reasons a participant can go off study:

- Completed (completed protocol intervention and any protocol-specified followup period or evaluations);
- AE/SAE;
- Death (complete Death Report form);
- Lost to followup;
- Non-compliant participant (includes refused study agent, assessments);
- Concomitant medication;
- Medical contraindication (e.g., pregnancy);
- Withdrew consent;
- Death; and
- Other.

NOTE: Any participant who is withdrawn for adverse events or pregnancy must be followed until resolution or until the Principal Investigator considers it unnecessary to continue followup. Documentation of this followup must be maintained in the participant's study chart and on the "Continuing AE" section of the Off Study Form.

8.2 Death

All deaths of participants at DCP-funded clinical sites need to be reported as a Serious Adverse Event (SAE) regardless of the relationship to the study agent. The SAE report is forwarded to the DCP Medical Monitor for review. For information about timeframes for submission of SAE forms and further instructions, please refer to the SAE procedures in Chapter 6 of this manual.

Deaths need to be reported using the protocol-specific death form. One Death Report form needs to be completed for each protocol in which the participant was enrolled. The purpose of this form is to gather information regarding the participant's death and when it occurred during the study. If the exact Date and Time are unknown, estimates are allowed. The following information should be submitted on the SAE form at the time the event is reported:

- Name and phone number of the reporter;
- Participant's PID number;
- Date of death;
- Primary cause of death;
- Name of study agent(s);
- Date study agent(s) last given;
- If death is related to study agent; and
- Brief history leading to death. (Submit autopsy report if available.)

9. SITE MONITORING BY WESTAT/DCP MONITORING CONTRACTOR

NIH guidelines specify that all clinical trials should have a system in place for appropriate oversight and monitoring to ensure the safety of participants and the validity of the data. DCP places participant safety as the highest priority and the quality of the study is only as good as the quality of the data. Westat conducts a site initiation visit and annual/interim visit at the Lead Organization until patient followup is complete, and a close-out visit is made by Westat. The Lead Organization is responsible for the oversight and monitoring of the Participating Organizations.

9.1 Three Types of Site Visits

Westat Clinical Research Associates (CRAs) conduct three types of site visits to the Lead Organization: initiation, annual/interim, and close-out visits. Each of these will be discussed separately below. DCP representatives may choose to participate in each of these visits.

9.1.1 Initiation Visit

Purpose

The purpose of the initiation visit is to:

- Meet with key staff (Principal Investigator, study coordinator, pharmacist, lab technician, etc.) at the Lead Organization. If Participating Organizations are involved, it is expected that key staff from each of the Participating Organizations are present at the Lead Organization for the visit.
- Orient staff to all general aspects of the performance of the work.
- Discuss the roles and responsibilities of DCP, clinical site staff, and Westat Team staff.

Scheduling

The initiation visit is usually accomplished in one day and occurs when the site is ready to begin the study. Westat will coordinate timing of the visit with DCP and the Principal Investigator or study coordinator. Westat sends a confirmation letter and an agenda in advance of the initiation visit. DCP approves both the letter and the agenda.

Conduct of Visit

Topics discussed at an initiation visit include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Role of DCP staff;
- Role of the Lead Organization;
- Role of the Participating Organizations;
- Background and purpose of study;
- Study procedures;
- Participant enrollment;
- Participant recruitment and retention strategies;
- Adverse event reporting;
- Toxicity management;
- Study agent discontinuation;
- Data collection and data management;
- Source documentation/confidentiality;
- Policy and procedures manuals;
- Regulatory documentation and CCS Associate's role;
- Recordkeeping requirements;
- Laboratory procedures;

- Unblinding procedures;
- Pharmacy;
- Quality Assurance (QA) procedures;
- Communication;
- Handling protocol deviations;
- Site monitoring of Lead Organization; and
- Site monitoring of Participating Organization.

An initiation visit may include a tour of the physical facility.

Followup

At the conclusion of the visit, issues that require followup will be discussed. The CRA will complete the Initiation Visit report, which is reviewed by DCP. A copy of the report format is in Appendix F. Site personnel will receive a copy of this report 4-6 weeks after the visit.

9.1.2 Annual/Interim Visit

Purpose

An annual/interim visit at the Lead Organization can be scheduled at any time if the protocol is rapidly accruing or if deficiencies are discovered. The purpose of the annual/interim site visit is to determine that:

- Facilities used by the investigator are acceptable for study purposes;
- There is compliance with the study protocol or investigational plan;
- Changes to the protocol and/or consent document have been approved by the IRB and NCI;
- Changes to the consent document have been explained to participants and a revised consent document has been signed by participants;

- Source documentation is adequate and Case Report Forms are completed appropriately;
- Protocol deviations are recorded and reported according to DCP procedures;
- Participants have signed an informed consent document prior to the conduct of study visits and/or study procedures;
- There is accurate reporting of significant events such as adverse events (AEs) and serious adverse events (SAEs);
- Accurate, complete, and timely reports are being made to DCP and the IRB; and
- The investigator is carrying out the agreed-upon activities and has not delegated them to other previously unspecified staff.

Scheduling

An annual/interim monitoring visit is accomplished in 2 or 3 days at the Lead Organization. Westat will discuss plans to conduct the visit with DCP and the Principal Investigator or study coordinator at least 6 weeks in advance of the visit. If the Lead Organization has elected to have its Participating Organizations' charts reviewed at the annual visit, Westat will identify the charts that will be needed for review from those sites. Westat will send a letter confirming the visit to the Principal Investigator and study coordinator stating the purpose and objectives of the visit, the staff and documents to be made available, and the expected duration of the visit. At least 2 weeks prior to the visit, the CRA will notify the study coordinator of charts to be reviewed. At least two additional charts (not previously requested) from the Lead Organization will be reviewed at each annual/interim visit.

Requirements

The following must be available for the CRA upon arrival for a site visit:

- Site monitoring sign-in log;
- PID logbook;
- Case Report Forms notebooks;
- Binders containing copies of signed informed consents for all study participants;

- Source documentation, including clinic charts, shadow files, and hospital charts if relevant;
- Regulatory documents;
- Appointment to meet with the site pharmacist, if a pharmacy audit is being performed; and
- A quiet well-lit area for the CRA's use each day during the site visit.

In addition, the study coordinator or designated staff should be available each day to review findings and provide additional records that may be requested by the CRA. Time should be set aside at the conclusion of the visit for the study coordinator and Principal Investigator to meet with the CRA to discuss the findings, site performance parameters, and any outstanding issues.

Conduct of Visit

The CRA will review the following documents during the annual/interim visit at the Lead Organization:

- Confirm the following regulatory documents are on file:
 - NCI/IRB approval letters;
 - NCI/IRB letters of annual approval;
 - NCI/IRB-approved consents;
 - Form FDA 1572s;
 - Laboratory certificates;
 - Laboratory normal values;
 - Screening logs;
 - Safety reports and memos with appropriate IRB correspondence; and
 - Other IRB correspondence.
 - Human subjects protection training
- Ensure sensitive documents are stored appropriately

- Perform CRF and record review. The following data will be verified against source documents:
 - Signed and dated informed consent document, obtained prior to the pre-entry workup;
 - Inclusion/exclusion criteria:
 - Visit dates;
 - Clinical and laboratory evaluations;
 - Concomitant medications;
 - Adverse events:
 - Concurrent illness; and
 - Adherence to protocol.

The number of records that will be reviewed is dependent upon the number of participants enrolled in the study. Records will be selected from the Lead Organization and Participating Organizations when applicable.

The CRA will verify eligibility and perform chart reviews for a minimum of 7 charts or 25 percent (whichever is greater) of participant records per study at the Lead Organization. Informed consent documents will be reviewed for 100 percent of enrolled participants at the Lead Organization. The Westat CRA will also:

- Conduct pharmacy audit:
 - Review of pharmacy-related regulatory documentation;
 - Examine procedures for:
 - 1. Investigational agent storage
 - 2. Investigational agent distribution
 - 3. Investigational agent security
 - Compare shelf inventory (bottle count) versus the Drug Accountability Record Form (DARF);

- Audit participant records to compare investigational agent dispensed as recorded on the DARF versus that recorded as administered in the source document:
- Compare the DARF with the protocol registration listing to ensure that participants who received investigational agents were registered on the specified protocol;
- Verify accuracy of investigational agent preparation and calculation of dosage;
 and
- Authenticate that any unopened/unused or expired investigational agent containers are returned to DCP.

Assess site operations:

- Verify adequate resources (e.g., facilities, staffing database);
- Review internal QA activities;
- Review accrual of participants available/recruited for the study;
- Followup on problems previously identified;
- Conduct a summary meeting with the Principal Investigator, pharmacist, and study staff to review the findings of the site visit. During this meeting the findings identified during the course of the site monitoring visit will be discussed, and recommendations for improvement will be made; and
- Review the oversight of Participating Organizations by the Lead Organization.

Followup

At the conclusion of the visit, issues that require followup will be discussed. The CRA will complete the Annual Visit report, which is reviewed by DCP. A copy of the report format is in Appendix G. Site personnel will receive a copy of this report 4-6 weeks after the visit, once the report has been finalized and approved by DCP.

9.1.3 Close-out Visit

Purpose

A Westat CRA will typically conduct a close-out visit at the Lead Organization within 60 days of study termination. This is often done after the "draft final report" has been submitted to DCP, but before the final version of the report is submitted. The duration of the close-out visit is usually 1-2 days. The purpose of this visit is to:

- Formally bring closure to the study at the site;
- Ensure all data have been collected;
- Complete the final accounting and disposition of the study agent; and
- Verify that the investigator's files are complete.

The close-out visit for a particular protocol may be combined with elements of an annual site visit in specific situations. In these situations, the combined annual/close-out visit usually lasts 2-3 days.

Scheduling

A close-out visit will generally take one day, but may require more. Westat will discuss plans to conduct the visit with DCP and the Principal Investigator or study coordinator at least 6 weeks in advance of the visit. Westat will send a letter confirming the visit to the Principal Investigator and study coordinator stating the purpose and objectives of the visit, the staff and documents to be available at the Lead Organization, and the expected duration of the visit.

Requirements

The requirements for a close-out visit are the same as for an annual/interim visit (see page 9-4).

Conduct of Visit

During the close-out visit, the Westat CRA will perform the following:

- Ensure that all Case Report Forms for each participant has been completed;
 - Verify that all data have been keyed on-site or all forms have been submitted to the Lead Organization or the protocol-specified destination;
 - Ask to see the Quality Assurance (QA) Plan for keyed data;
 - If the data forms have not been completed, keyed, or submitted, the CRA will discuss with the investigator and study coordinator a timeline for accomplishing these tasks.
- Verify that a signed informed consent document is on file for each study participant;
- Review the status of all outstanding data edits, queries, or delinquent forms and timeline for their resolution;
- Confirm that the IRB/IEC has been informed of the study closure;
- Verify that all regulatory and other pertinent documents for the protocol (IRB approvals, consent documents, etc.) are up-to-date and on file;
- Verify that the investigator knows to submit a final report to DCP, and that a deadline for completion has been identified;
- Ensure that a progress note is included in each participant's medical record indicating that study participation has ended;
- Ensure that the Principal Investigator understands the requirements for reporting of adverse events for participants who have completed the study;
- Ensure that the Principal Investigator understands the requirements for retention of study records. (The investigator may refer to the award document which specifies the time for record retention);
- If applicable, determine the disposition of participant specimens obtained during the study and stored on-site. Ensure all specimens have been sent to the appropriate place/facility or that the Principal Investigator understands the plan for future shipment; and
- Meet with the site pharmacist to determine the disposition of remaining study agent and ensure that it has been returned to the repository. Ensure all required study agent accountability has been reconciled and forms have been completed appropriately. If a blinded study agent was used, confirm that the tear-off labels were not opened. For

any that were opened, documentation should be obtained noting the reason for unblinding.

Followup

At the conclusion of the visit, issues that require followup will be discussed. The CRA will complete the Close-Out visit report, which is reviewed by DCP. A copy of the report format is in Appendix H. Site personnel will receive a copy of this report 4-6 weeks after the visit, once the report has been finalized as approved by DCP.

APPENDIX A ACCESSING THE DIVISION OF CANCER PREVENTION, WESTAT AND CCSA

DCP Address: Division of Cancer Prevention

National Cancer Institute 6130 Executive Boulevard Bethesda, Maryland 20892

T: 301-496-0090 F: 301-435-3541

Westat Address: Westat

1441 West Montgomery Avenue Rockville, Maryland 20850-2062

T: 301-294-2885 F: 301-738-8379

CCS Associates Address: CCS Associates, Inc.

2005 Landing Drive

Mountain View, CA 94043

T: 650-691-4400 F: 650-691-4410

DCP Help Desk at Westat: 1-888-662-8354 or email NCI-DCPmonitoring@westat.com

Business hours are between 8:00 am and 4:00 p.m. (ET) Monday through Friday. The caller is asked to leave a detailed voice or email message outlining the information needed. Westat staff checks the Help Line voice mail box every 2 hours and the Help Line email box every hour during business hours. Calls and/or emails will be triaged to the appropriate individual for followup. A response to the call or email will be sent as soon as possible. If there is an immediate need, contact the respective Organ System

Research Group Nurse Specialist.

NCI/DCP Staff:

Acting Deputy Director; Associate Director for

Clinical Research; Project Director: Leslie Ford, MD

T: 301-496-0265 F: 301-435-3541 E: fordl@mail.nih.gov

Protocol Information Office:

For protocol development, review, amendment Project T: 301-496-0090 approval Officer: F: 301-435-3541

E: parrecol@mail.nih.gov

Project Jennifer Flach **Officer:** T: 301-496-0265

F: 301-435-0031

E: <u>flachj@mail.nih.gov</u>

Project Rose Mary Padberg, RN, MS

Officer: T: 301-4996-0265

F: 301-435-3541

E: padberg@mail.nih.gov

Program Izet Kapentanovic, Ph.D.

Director: T: 301-435-5011

F: 301-402-0553

E: kapentani@mail.nih.gov

Contract Gary Topper **Officers:** T: 301-435-3793

F: 301-402-8579

E: topperg@amb.nci.nih.gov

Theresa Shroff T: 301-435-3796 F: 301-402-8579

E: shrofft@amb.nci.nih.gov

Schuyler Eldridge T: 301-435-3794 F: 301-402-8579

E: eldridgs@rcb.nci.nih.gov

AdministrativeMyra TerrellProgramT: 301-402-3668Assistant:F: 301-435-1894

E: terrellm@mail.nih.gov

Organ System Research Groups: Breast and GYN

Chief: Karen Johnson, MD, Ph.D., MPH

T: 301-402-3666 F: 301-480-9939

E: johnsonn@mail.nih.gov

Medical Doris Browne, MD Monitor: T: 301-594-0696

F: 301-480-9939

E: browned@mail.nih.gov

Medical Teri Cornelison, MD

Monitor: T: 301-402-3963 F: 301-408-9939

E: cornelit@mail.nih.gov

Nurse Kathleen Foster, RN

Specialist: T: 301-402-6211 F: 301-480-9939

E: fosterk@mail.nih.gov

GI and other Chief: Ernest Hawk, MD, MPH

T: 301-594-2684 F: 301-435-6344

E: hawke@mail.nih.gov

Medical William Anderson, MD

Monitor: T: 301-594-7672

F: 301-435-6344

E: wanderso@mail.nih.gov

Medical Amar Usad, DVM **Monitor:** T: 301-594-7671

F: 301-435-6344

E: umara@mail.nih.gov

Nurse Ellen Richmond, RN, MS

Specialist: T: 301-435-2466

F: 301-435-4644

E: richmone@mail.nih.gov

Lung and Upper Aerodigestive **Chief:** Eva Szabo, MD T: 301-435-2456

F: 301-480-3924

E: szaboe@mail.nih.gov

Medical Jason Vourlekis, MD Monitor: T: 301-435-1595

F: 301-480-3924

E: vourlekj@mail.nih.gov

Nurse Judy Smith, RN, MSN

Specialist: T: 301-496-7469

F: 301-480-3924

E: judiths@mail.nih.gov

Prostate and Urologic Chief: Howard Parnes, MD

T: 301-402-3606 F: 301-435-1564

E: parnesh@mail.nih.gov

Medical Ronald Lieberman, MD

Monitor: T: 301-594-0456 F: 301-435-1564

E: liebermr@mail.nih.gov

Nurse Maggie House, BSN

Specialist: T: 301-594-1579

F: 301-433-1564

E: housem@mail.nih.gov

Chemoprevention AgentChief: James Crowell, Ph.D.

T: 301-594-0459 F: 301-70-0553

E: jcrowell@mail.nih.gov

Marjorie Perloff, MD T: 301-594-0466 F: 301-402-0553

E: perloffm@mail.nih.gov

Martha Basinger T: 301-594-0422 F: 301-402-0553

E: <u>basingem@mail.nih.gov</u>

CCS Associates Staff:

Development Research Group

OHRP, IRB Margaret Scheitrum

T: 650-691-4400 x 127 F: 650-691-4410

E: mscheitrum@ccsainc.com

IND Linda Doody, Ph.D

T: 650-691-4400 x 107 F: 650-691-4410

E: <u>ldoody@ccsainc.com</u>

SAE Kathleen Dolan, RN, MS

T: 650-691-4400 x 115 F: 650-691-4410

E: kdolan@ccsainc.com

Westat Project Staff:

Project Director Nancy Dianis, RN, MS

T: 301-294-2885 F: 301-738-8379

E: nancydianis@westat.com

Data Monitoring Thuzar Myint

T: 301-517-4058 F: 301-738-8379

E: thuzarmyint@westat.com

Study Site Auditing Detra Robinson, MA

T: 301-738-3653 F: 301-738-8379

E: detrarobinson@westat.com

Site Staff Education and Training Detra Robinson, MA

T: 301-738-3653 F: 301-738-8379

E: detrarobinson@westat.com

Maintain Centralized Database

and Informatics

Steve Alred T: 301-294-4408 F: 301-610-5517

E: stevealred@westat.com

Westat CRA Staff
Kathleen Robergeau, MPH

T: 240-314-2431 F: 301-738-8379

E: kathleenrobergeau@westat.com

Lisa McCall, BSN T: 301-279-4523 F: 301-738-8379

E: lisamcall@westat.com

Laurie Quint-Adler, MPH

T: 703-533-2367

F: 703-533-3472

E: <u>lauriequint-adler@westat.com</u>

Mary Nordlof, RN

T: 210-732-7272

F: 210-732-8238

E: marynordolf@westat.com

Deanna Cruz, RN

T: 954-587-2303

F: 954-587-9443

E: deannacruz@westat.com

Colleen Quinn

T: 609-799-7580 ext. 509

F: 609-799-4148

E Cquinn@theradex.com

Richard Mann

T: 609-799-7850 ext. 358

F: 609-799-4148

E: Rmann@theradex.com

Joan Solomon, RN

T: 716-648-4433

F: 716-648-4433

A-6

E: jsolomon@theradex.com

Theradex Staff:

Westat Team Subcontractor

APPENDIX B. GLOSSARY OF TERMS

DIVISION OF CANCER PREVENTION GLOSSARY OF TERMS

Acronym	Term	Definition	
AE	Adverse Event	Any unfavorable and unintended sign (including an abnormal laboratory finding), symptom, or disease temporally associated with the use of a medical treatment or procedure regardless of whether it is considered related to the medical treatment or procedure (attribution is unrelated, unlikely, possible, probable, or definite).	
	Agent	A pharmaceutical drug used individually or a combination of them that is being tested in a cancer prevention trial.	
	Auditing	The act of reviewing and evaluating particular components at a site visit: (1) conformance to IRB and consent form requirements, (2) pharmacy and drug accountability, and (3) patient case review.	
	Audit Task Manager	An appropriately qualified Westat employee, by training and experience, whose responsibilities include, but are not limited to, DCP project goal planning for on-site monitoring, supervision of staff, assignment of protocol(s) and sites to monitor, assuring compliance with specific SOPs, and assuring that on-site monitoring visits are conducted, and that site visit reports are recorded appropriately.	
	Amendment	A change to an approved clinical protocol which significantly affects the safety of the subjects, the scope of the investigation, or the scientific quality of the study. May also include administrative or minor changes, such as changes in company personnel, spelling error, etc.	
	Biomarker	A substance sometimes found in an increased amount in the blood, other bodily fluids, or tissues and which may mean a certain type of cancer is in the body. Examples of biomarkers include CA 125 (ovarian cancer), CA 15-3 (breast cancer), CEA (ovarian, lung, breast, pancreas, gastrointestinal tract cancers), and PSA (prostate cancers).	
BGCRG		Breast and Gynecological Cancer Research Group, an organ system group within DCP.	

Acronym	Term Definition		
CADRG		Chemopreventive Agent Development Research Group, an organ system group within DCP.	
СВ		Chemoprevention Branch	
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations	The Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) is a codification of the general and permanent rules published in the Federal Register by the Executive Departments and the agencies of the Federal Government.	
CRF	Case Report Form	A printed, optical, or electronic document designed to record all of the protocol-required information to be reported to the sponsor on each clinical trial.	
CCSA	CCS Associate	A DCP contractor who is responsible for assisting the PIO, Organ Site Research Group personnel and study staff with protocol development and management of regulatory issues.	
	CLIENT	A desktop machine in which users can interact and run applications.	
	Clinical Investigation	Any experiment in which a drug is administered or dispensed to, or used, involving one or more human subjects. For the purposes of this part, an experiment is any use of a drug except for the use of a marketed drug in the course of medical practice.	
CRA	Clinical Research Associate (the monitor)	An appropriately qualified employee, by training and experience, who is responsible for assuring that clinical trials are conducted according to appropriate procedures and all applicable government regulations. The CRA is also responsible for conducting on-site visits to clinical centers to verify subject eligibility, data accuracy, and compliance with regulatory requirements.	
	Commercial Agent	Any agent not supplied under an IND but obtained instead from a commercial source.	
CDE	Common Data Element	A standardized vocabulary with technical specifications, used to define data elements in NCI.	
СТС	Common Toxicity Criteria	A descriptive terminology, which is to be utilized for adverse event reporting. A grading (severity) scale is provided for each adverse event term.	

Acronym	Term	Definition	
	Confidential Information	Any data that should not be in the public domain. This includes information that may be associated with an individual patient, the personal identification of individual patients, information about participating investigators and institutions that are not already part of the public record; information regarded as proprietary by participants in DCP supported research protocols.	
CRO	Contract Research Organization	A commercial organization that assumes, as an independent contractor with a sponsor, one or more of the obligations of a sponsor, e.g., design of a protocol, selection or monitoring of investigations, evaluation of reports, site monitoring visits, statistical analysis, and preparation of reports to be submitted to the Food and Drug Administration.	
	Control Group	In phase III cancer prevention clinical trial of a study agent, the group that receives either a placebo or a standard agent that is being compared to a new agent.	
CSAERS		Chemoprevention Serious Adverse Event Reporting System	
CV	Curriculum Vitae	Document that outlines a person's educational and professional work history.	
DARF		Drug Accountability Report Form	
DCP		Division of Cancer Prevention	
DESK	DCP Enterprise System Knowledgebase	The computer system knowledgebase that supports DCP data such as agents and address modules.	
	Dropout	A participant who does not complete a clinical trial. Subjects may discontinue participation due to disease-related, medication-related, clinical trial-related reasons, or due to the participant's own volition.	
	Drug Accountability	Maintaining current and accurate records showing the quantities of drug received, dispensed, stored at the site, and returned to the sponsor.	

Acronym	Term	Definition
•	Database Administrator	A systems professional trained in database administration techniques and responsible for utilizing these techniques to manage security and performance of an Oracle database. These responsibilities include: creating and removing user accounts, developing appropriate access roles and profiles, controlling and monitoring user access, identification of security violations, backup and recovery of the database, and monitoring and optimizing performance. There will be a primary and secondary project database administrator for Oracle Clinical database on the DCP project. A corporate database administrator is responsible for establishing policies and procedures for all Oracle databases at Westat.
DSMB	Data and Safety Monitoring Board	An impartial group of researchers who periodically review data from blinded, placebo-controlled trials. A DSMB can stop a trial if toxicities are found or if treatment is proven beneficial.
	Effectiveness	The desired measure of a drug's influence on a disease condition as proven by substantial evidence from adequate and well controlled investigations. Reasonable assurance that in a significant portion of the target population, the use of the drug will provide clinically significant results; that the drug has a beneficial therapeutic effect (how well does the treatment work from the individual patient's perspective and the impact of health care resource utilization overall).
	Efficacy	A product's ability to produce beneficial effects. (Does it do what we intended it to do, or what we are claiming it can do?)
EC	Ethics Committee	An independent body comprised of medical professionals and non-medical members whose responsibility is to verify the integrity of the research, and human rights of the subjects participating in a particular trial are protected, thereby providing public reassurance. See Institutional Review Boards (IRBs).
	Evaluable Subject	A subject who meets the criteria for evaluation described in the protocol or the statistical plan. Subjects with protocol violations are not evaluable.
FDA	Food and Drug Administration	An agency of the U.S. Government which oversees the study of investigational drugs and grants marketing approval for new drugs. Regulates the drug development and clinical trials industry.

Acronym	Term	Definition		
	Form FDA 1572	Statement of the investigator that outlines the responsibilities that the investigator agrees to assume in order to conduct the clinical trial.		
	Global Librarian	A person assigned the responsibility of internal administration and change management of the Global Library in an Oracle Clinical database. This person is also assigned the responsibility of granting and revoking access for individual users to specific protocols.		
GOCRG		Gastrointestinal and Other Cancer Research Group, an organ system group in DCP.		
GCP	Good Clinical Practice	A standard for the design, conduct, performance, monitoring, auditing, recording, analyses, and reporting of clinical trials that provides assurance that the data and reported results are credible and accurate, and that the rights, integrity, and confidentiality of trial subjects are protected.		
HIPAA		Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act		
	Human Subject	An individual participating in research, either as a recipient of the test article or as a control. A subject may be either a healthy human or a patient.		
HTML	Hyper Text Markup Language	A document-layout and hyperlink-specific language. It tells the browser how to display the content of the document.		
НТТР	Hyper Text Transfer Protocol	A standard through which a client browser talks to a server to load the requested document.		
IB	Investigator's Brochure	A collection of data known to date about the investigational drug, both clinical and preclinical data (Also referred to as Clinical Investigation Brochure or Investigational Drug Brochure).		
	Informatics	Information science.		
IC	Informed Consent	A process in which a person learns key facts about a clinical trial, including potential risks and benefits, before deciding whether or not to participate in a study. Informed consent continues throughout the trial.		
ICF	Informed Consent Form	The legal written record where the subject, or his/her representative, agrees to voluntarily participate in the investigation.		

		Definition	
	Initiation Visit	A type of site visit conducted to verify that all regulatory and other requirements are in place prior to implementing a study.	
IRB	Institutional Review Board	A committee constituted according to requirements established by the NIH Office for Human Research Protections and having the responsibility for reviewing, approving, and monitoring the conduct of clinical research protocols for their institutions.	
ICH	International Conference on Harmonisation	A committee of US, EU, and Japanese members organized to develop guidelines for the conduct of clinical trials (this applies to pharmaceutical products).	
IND	Investigational New Drug Application	The application filed with the FDA informing them of the sponsor's intent to begin testing a new pharmaceutical product in humans.	
	Investigational Agent	An agent sponsored under an Investigational New Drug Application (IND).	
	Investigator	An individual who actually conducts a clinical investigation (i.e., under whose immediate direction the agent is administered or dispensed to a subject). In the event a team of individuals conducts an investigation, the investigator is the responsible leader of the team.	
	JavaScript	Lightweight Java-based scripting language used at client web browsers to perform basic web page validation and processes.	
KA	Knowledge Acquisition	The formalized process of collecting information about business organizational processes necessary for developing requirements.	
	Lead Organization	The institution holding the funding agreement with the NCI, which is the institution of the Principal Investigator.	
LUACG		Lung and Upper Aerodigestive Cancer Group, an organ system group within DCP.	
	Marketing Application	An application for a new drug submitted under section 505(b) of the act of biologics license application for a biological product submitted under the Public Health Service Act.	

Acronym	Term	Definition		
	Medical Site Monitor	A medically trained DCP employee, whose responsibilities include, but are not limited to, interacting with the investigator(s) and staff at the clinical site on all clinical matters related to the study and to oversee the study from a safety standpoint.		
	Monitoring	The act of overseeing the progress of a clinical trial and ensuring that it is conducted, recorded, and reported in accordance with the protocol, Standard Operating Procedures (SOPS), GCPs, and the applicable regulatory requirements.		
NCICB		National Cancer Center for Bioinformatics		
	Oracle Clinical	A software product of the Oracle Corporation designed to meet the needs of the clinical trials industry.		
	Organ System Group	A specific network of physicians, nurse specialists, and other health professionals at DCP who monitor and evaluate the scientific integrity of organ-specific diseases in contracts, grants, and other long-term projects.		
	Participating Organization	Institutions who by arrangement with the NCI/DCP and the lead organization participate in a clinical trial by accruing patients.		
PIMS		Protocol Information Management System		
	Placebo	A chemically inert substance given in the guise of medicine for its psychologically suggestive effect; used in controlled clinical trials to determine whether improvement and side effects may reflect imagination or anticipation rather than actual power of a drug.		
	Project Director	An appropriately qualified Westat employee, by training and experience, whose responsibilities include, but are not limited to: monitoring project budgets; allocating staff resources; complying with project goals and objectives; evaluating whether the scope of work is being met; serving as official contact for the client, collaborators, and contractors; preparing project progress reports to deliver to the client on a routine basis; and assuming final responsibility for assuring that all project work is completed accurately, on time, and within budget.		

Acronym	Term	Definition		
PIO	Protocol Information Office	The central office for all protocol-related information management for DCP sponsored trials. The mission of the PIO is to coordinate all administrative aspects related to clinical trial development to assure that quality protocols are developed in the most expeditious and efficient manner possible. Towards that end, the PIO collects, processes, tracks, and monitors all protocol-related information between DCP, the study site staff, Westat, and CCS Associates.		
PI	Principal Investigator	The individual responsible for the conduct of the study at the clinical center and for ensuring the safety of study participants enrolled at that site (i.e., under whose immediate direction the test agent is administered or dispensed to the study participant). If a team of individuals conducts a trial, the investigator is the responsible leader of the team.		
	Project Manager	An appropriately qualified Westat employee, by training or experience, whose responsibilities include, but are not limited to, project goal planning, supervision of staff, and evaluation and assessment of project activities. The project manager's responsibilities may also include conducting onsite monitoring visits.		
PK	Pharmacokinetics	The study of bodily absorption, distribution, and metabolism and excretion of compounds and medicines.		
	Protocol	A formal written document which states the rationale, objectives, and statistical design of a clinical research trial.		
PUCRG		Prostate and Urologic Cancer Research Group, one of the DCP organ system groups.		
QA	Quality Assurance	Applies to on-site and institutional systems and processes established to ensure that the trial is performed and the data is generated in compliance with GCP, SOPs, and the protocol.		
QOL	Quality of Life	Description of the physical, psychological, and social dimensions of the health status of a subject.		
	Randomization	A method used to prevent bias in research. People are assigned by chance, often by computer, either to receive the study agent (intervention group) or not (control group).		

Acronym	Term	Definition
RDC/RDE	Remote Data Capture/ Remote Data Entry	Systems for directly entering data from investigational sites electronically rather than by the physical transfer of data on paper CRFs.
SAE	Serious Adverse Event	Important medical events that may or may not result in death, be life-threatening, or require hospitalization may be considered a serious adverse drug experience when, based upon appropriate medical judgement, they may jeopardize the participant and may require medical to surgical intervention to prevent one of the outcomes listed in this definition.
	Site Monitor	A Westat employee (or an employee of a subcontractor of Westat) responsible for on-site monitoring of the conduct of a trial at each site to ensure that it is conducted according to protocol specifications, company procedures, and government requirements.
	Site Visit	On-site investigation of the facilities and/or clinical research process at an institution conducting DCP-sponsored clinical research by DCP staff or its representatives.
	Sponsor	An individual company, institution, or organization which takes responsibility for the initiation, management, and/or financing of a clinical trial, but who does not actually conduct the investigation
SOPs	Standard Operating Procedures	Written procedures describing sponsor, CRO, site or IRB procedures, or systems governing their processes. Also: standard, detailed instructions for managing a clinical trial. SOP documents provide a general framework to provide the means of efficient implementation and performance of all the functions and activities for the trial described in the SOP.
	Study Coordinator	The responsible person at the clinical site who is the primary contact at the site and ensures that the studies are conducted appropriately.
	Subinvestigator	Individuals (research fellow, resident, associates) who assist the PI in the conduct of the clinical trial. A subinvestigator has authority delegated to him or her by the PI
URL	Universal Resource Locator	The complete address of a resource and has everything the system needs to find a document and its server on the web.

APPENDIX C. FORM FDA 1572

Form Approved: OMB No. 0910-0014. **DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES** Expiration Date: September 30, 2002. See OMB Statement on Reverse. PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION NOTE: No investigator may participate in an STATEMENT OF INVESTIGATOR investigation until he/she provides the sponsor with a completed, signed Statement of Investigator, Form FDA 1572 (21 CFR 312.53(c)). (TITLE 21, CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS (CFR) PART 312) (See instructions on reverse side.) 1. NAME AND ADDRESS OF INVESTIGATOR EDUCATION, TRAINING, AND EXPERIENCE THAT QUALIFIES THE INVESTIGATOR AS AN EXPERT IN THE CLINICAL INVESTIGATION OF THE DRUG FOR THE USE UNDER INVESTIGATION. ONE OF THE FOLLOWING IS ATTACHED. CURRICULUM VITAE OTHER STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS 3. NAME AND ADDRESS OF ANY MEDICAL SCHOOL, HOSPITAL OR OTHER RESEARCH FACILITY WHERE THE CLINICAL INVESTIGATION(S) WILL BE CONDUCTED. 4. NAME AND ADDRESS OF ANY CLINICAL LABORATORY FACILITIES TO BE USED IN THE STUDY. 5. NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARD (IRB) THAT IS RESPONSIBLE FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF THE STUDY(IES). 6. NAMES OF THE SUBINVESTIGATORS (e.g., research fellows, residents, associates) WHO WILL BE ASSISTING THE INVESTIGATOR IN THE CONDUCT OF THE INVESTIGATION(S). 7. NAME AND CODE NUMBER, IF ANY, OF THE PROTOCOL(S) IN THE IND FOR THE STUDY(IES) TO BE CONDUCTED BY THE INVESTIGATOR.

C-1

PREVIOUS EDITION IS OBSOLETE.

FORM FDA 1572 (8/01)

PAGE 1 OF 2

Created by: PSC Media Arts Branch (301) 443-2454 EF

8. ATTACH THE FOLLOWING CLINICAL PROTOCOL INFORMATION:	
☐ FOR PHASE 1 INVESTIGATIONS, A GENERAL OUTLINE OF THE PLANNED INVESTIGATION INCLUDING THE E THE STUDY AND THE MAXIMUM NUMBER OF SUBJECTS THAT WILL BE INVOLVED.	STIMATED DURATION OF
FOR PHASE 2 OR 3 INVESTIGATIONS, AN OUTLINE OF THE STUDY PROTOCOL INCLUDING AN APPROXIMAT SUBJECTS TO BE TREATED WITH THE DRUG AND THE NUMBER TO BE EMPLOYED AS CONTROLS, IF ANY; INVESTIGATED; CHARACTERISTICS OF SUBJECTS BY AGE, SEX, AND CONDITION; THE KIND OF CLINICAL C LABORATORY TESTS TO BE CONDUCTED; THE ESTIMATED DURATION OF THE STUDY; AND COPIES OR A REPORT FORMS TO BE USED.	THE CLINICAL USES TO BE DBSERVATIONS AND
9. COMMITMENTS:	
I agree to conduct the study(ies) in accordance with the relevant, current protocol(s) and will only make cha the sponsor, except when necessary to protect the safety, rights, or welfare of subjects.	nges in a protocol after notifying
I agree to personally conduct or supervise the described investigation(s).	
I agree to inform any patients, or any persons used as controls, that the drugs are being used for investigat that the requirements relating to obtaining informed consent in 21 CFR Part 50 and institutional review board CFR Part 56 are met.	
I agree to report to the sponsor adverse experiences that occur in the course of the investigation(s) in accordant	ce with 21 CFR 312.64.
I have read and understand the information in the investigator's brochure, including the potential risks and side	effects of the drug.
I agree to ensure that all associates, colleagues, and employees assisting in the conduct of the study(ies) are in meeting the above commitments.	e informed about their obligations
I agree to maintain adequate and accurate records in accordance with 21 CFR 312.62 and to make those re accordance with 21 CFR 312.68.	ecords available for inspection in
I will ensure that an IRB that complies with the requirements of 21 CFR Part 56 will be responsible for the approval of the clinical investigation. I also agree to promptly report to the IRB all changes in the resear problems involving risks to human subjects or others. Additionally, I will not make any changes in the resear where necessary to eliminate apparent immediate hazards to human subjects.	ch activity and all unanticipated
I agree to comply with all other requirements regarding the obligations of clinical investigators and all other part 312.	pertinent requirements in 21 CFR
INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING FORM FDA 1572 STATEMENT OF INVESTIGATOR:	
Complete all sections. Attach a separate page if additional space is needed.	
Attach curriculum vitae or other statement of qualifications as described in Section 2.	. •
Attach protocol outline as described in Section 8.	•
4. Sign and date below.	
 FORWARD THE COMPLETED FORM AND ATTACHMENTS TO THE SPONSOR. The s information along with other technical data into an Investigational New Drug Application (II 	-
10. SIGNATURE OF INVESTIGATOR	11. DATE
(WARNING: A willfully false statement is a criminal offense. U.S.C. Title 18, Sec. 1001.)	
	the time for made the leader the
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 100 hours per response, including searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing reviewing the collections.	on of information. Send comments

regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to:

Food and Drug Administration CBER (HFM-99) 1401 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852-1448

Food and Drug Administration CDER (HFD-94) 12229 Wilkins Avenue Rockville, MD 20852

"An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number."

Please DO NOT RETURN this application to this address.

FORM FDA 1572 (8/01)

PAGE 2 OF 2

APPENDIX D. PROTOCOL DEVIATION NOTIFICATION

DIVISION OF CANCER PREVENTION

PROTOCOL DEVIATION NOTIFICATION

Patient No.:	Drug Under Investigation:	Study (Indication):				
Sponsor: NCI, DCP	IRB Protocol No.:	NCI Contract No:				
•	at.					
Investigator:	Site:					
Phone No.:	FAX No.:					
NCI is being notified of the folloprotocol section):	owing protocol deviation (describe	and include specific criteria and				
Reason for deviation:	Reason for deviation:					
Action to be taken to prevent this from recurrence:						
Form completed by PI (print name)):					
PI Signature:	PI Signature: Date:					
month/day/year						
Review of protocol deviation by the NCI Monitor and any required action to be taken:						
NCI Monitor signature: Date:						
cc: Protocol File at Westat; Contract File at CCSA; AND Case Report Form Binder at Site						

Revised August 2002

APPENDIX E: DCP GUIDELINES AND SERIOUS ADVERSE EVENT FORM

Appendix E

Adverse Event Reporting Chart:
Summary of Investigator's Obligations for Reporting
Adverse Events in Phase I–III Clinical Trials to the
National Cancer Institute, Division of Cancer Prevention (DCP)

Reaction

Reporting Obligation

REPORT BY PHONE TO DCP WITHIN

a. ALL SERIOUS ADVERSE EVENTS

Any adverse event (AE) occurring at any dose that: results in death, is life-threatening, requires inpatient hospitalization or prolongation of existing hospitalization, results in persistent or significant disability/incapacity, or is a congenital anomaly/birth defect.

e 24 HOURS.¹ (written report to follow ires within 48 hrs²)

Important medical events that may not result in death, be life-threatening, or require hospitalization may be considered a serious adverse event when, based upon appropriate medical judgement, they may jeopardize the patient or subject and may require medical or surgical intervention to prevent one of the outcomes listed in this definition.

b. ALL ADVERSE EVENTS (SERIOUS, NON-SERIOUS)³

REPORTED in the AE CRF and Progress Reports.

² Report to: Medical Monitor (as specified in the contract)

DCP/National Cancer Institute/NIH Executive Plaza North, Suite 201

9000 Rockville Pike Bethesda, MD 20892

For Express (e.g., Federal Express, DHL, Airborne) or Hand Delivery

Executive Plaza North, Suite 201

6130 Executive Blvd. Rockville, MD 20852

¹ Telephone number available 24 hours daily: 301-496-8563 (Recorder after hours); FAX: 301-402-0553 or 301-594-2943.

³A list of all known toxicities can be found in the Investigator's Brochure, package insert, or other material provided by NCI.

NCI Contract/Grant NoIRB Protocol No			Study Subject No		
N REQUIRED FIELD	SER	IOUS Al	CANCER PREVEN DVERSE EVENT I	•	
Today's Date:		Sponsor: N	CI, DCP	Study (Indication):	
Drug under Investigation	:	IND No.:			
A. Study Subject Inf	Ī	•	T		
Patient Initials	2. Date of Birth (Month/Day/Yea		3. Weight at Time of Event: [] kg [] lbs. [] not available	4. Height at Time of Event: [] cm [] ft [] not available	
B. Event Information	n	Gender: (ci	ircle one) M F	Dose at Event:	
[] Follow-up Event Onset Date: (Month/Day/Year) Event Approx. Time:		Primary Event (diagnosis):			
(Indicate A.M./P.M.) Event Occurred at:		_			
Duration of Drug Exposure at Event:		Primary Treatment Approx. Time (A.M./P.M.): Primary Treatment of Event:			
Attending Physician (Nar Phone/FAX No.: Hospital/Clinic: Address:	ne):	1			
Describe Event (if applica	able, include dates of	f hospitalizatio	n for event):		

Title

_ Date ____ Phone No. _

Form Completed by: (Print Name) _

Investigator Signature _

NCI Contract/Grant No IRB Protocol No	Study Subject No							
ALL FIELDS APPEARING IN T INITIAL REPORT; THEREAFT CORRECTIVE INFORMATION	ER, FILL IN O							
C. Site information								
1. Investigator Name								
2. Address								
D. Suspect Medication(s)								
1. Study Design: [] Blind [] Open.	/Unblind							
Possible Dose (e.g., 300 mg)	Fre	equency (e.g	y., qd)		Route ((e.g., po)_		
2. Study Drug		For	nulation (e.	.g., tablet, s	solution)			
		Lot	No. (If kno	own)				
3. Start Date of Study Drug (Month/Day/Y	ear):							
4. Was blind broken due to event?	[] No		[] Yes		[] NA	Λ		
5. Was Study Drug stopped/interrupted/red	luced in response to e	event? [] No	[]Yes					
>> If yes, complete a-e:								
a. If stopped, specify date study drug la	st taken:(Month/Da	v/Year)	[] NA					
b. If reduced, specify: New dose				[] NA				
c. If interrupted, specify total number o	f days not given:		[] NA					
d. Did event abate after study drug was	stopped or dose redu	ced?	[] NA	[]Yes	[] No			
e. Did event reappear after study drug v	vas reintroduced?		[] NA	[]Yes	[] No			
6. Was patient taking any other medication (DO NOT LIST DRUGS USED T	-		event?[]]	No []Ye	es >> If yes,	, complete t	pelow.	
Drug Name Doses (units, frequency, route, indic	ation for use)		Start Date		or	Stop I mark (X) is	Date f continuing	ŗ
		Month	Day	Year	Month	Day	Year	(X)

(continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

NCI Contract/Grant No		Study Subje	ect No
IRB Protocol No.			
E. Adverse Event			
Relevant Laboratory/Diagnostic	Tests [] No tests performed		
Date	Test	Results	
Bute	1631	Actual Value	Normal Range
Month Day Year			
continue on a separate sheet if necess	ary)		
2. Relevant Medical History, include	ling preexisting conditions (e.g., allergie	es, pregnancy, smoking & alcoh-	ol use, hepatic/renal dysfunction,
medical/surgical history, etc.)			
Date (if known)		Diseases/Surgeries/Treatment	
(continue on a separate sheet if necess	arv)		
	vent (use NCI Common Toxicity Criteri	a):[10 [11 [12 [13	[14
If not gradable by NCI CTC, che	ck one of the following: [] Mild (Caus	sing no limitation of usual activi	ities)
[] Moderate (Causing some lim	itation of usual activities) [] Severe (C	ausing inability to carry out usu	al activities)
4. Why Serious?			
[] Results in death [] Is lif [] Results in persistent or signif		hospitalization or prolongation of congenital anomaly/birth defect	• •
[] Other, specify:		congenitai anomary, on ai actes	
 -			
5. Outcome of Event (at time of rep	port)		
[] Resolved-date:	[]Improved []Unchanged []W	orse [] Not available	
(Month/Day/Yea	Autopsy perform		
(Mon	hth/Day/Year) (please att	(circle one)	ev report, if applicable)
		1	J. reperior of the property
6. Investigator's opinion of the rela	tionship between the event and the study	drug (If more than one event i	s being reported, list secondary
events and corresponding relatio	nship to study drug in the comments sec	tion below.) Check applicable b	OOX:
[] Not related [] U	Jnlikely [] Possible	[] Probable	[] Definite
7. Was this event reported by the In	vestigator to (check all that apply): []	IRB [] Manufacturer/Dis	stributor
= -	ing in this study, if checked, please list		

RB Protocol No.	Clarifications:					
FOR NCI USE ONLY						
Date NCI not	ified of event (Month/Day/Year):					
2. Medical Mon	itor Review:					
Medical Asse	essment of Event (including drug rela	ationship and expectancy):				
Is this an FDA re	portable (7 calendar days) event?	[] Yes [] No				
Is this an FDA re	portable (15 calendar days) event?	[]Yes []No				
>> If No, specif	y reason:					
Is more informati	ion expected? [] Yes [] No					
>> If Yes, speci	fy:					
Is this event to be	e communicated to other NCI contra	ctors using this investigational drug?	[] Yes [] No			
>> If Yes, how?	By telephone (attach a TC Form):	[] Yes, attached TC Form [] No				
	Other (FAX, mail, e-mail, etc.):	[] Yes, attached a copy of the corres	spondence [] No			
Medical Monitor	: Print name	Signature	Date			

Study Subject No.____

NCI Contract/Grant No._____

APPENDIX F. INITIATION VISIT REPORT FORMS

DCP PROJECT CLINICAL SITE INITIATION VISIT REPORT

I. SITE INFORMATION

Instructions:	Please provide the requested information for each of the items listed below. Provide comments whenever necessary or helpful.
Name of Clinical Site:	
Protocol Name:	
Document Number:	
Date(s) of Visit:	
Conducted by:	
DCP Representative(s)) Present:

Clinical Site Personnel Present at the Visit:

NAME	TITLE	MET WITH CRA MONITOR (Y/N)	PRESENT AT DEBRIEFING (Y/N)
	Principal Investigator		
	Study Coordinator		
	Pharmacist		
	Other		

CLINICAL SITE INITIATION VISIT CHECKLIST

TTEMS VERIFIED and/or DISCUSSED	Y	N	NA	COMMENTS
Background and Purpose of Study				
Study Objectives and Design				
Study Procedures				
Clinical Evaluations				
Laboratory Evaluations				
Schedule of Evaluations				
Specimen Collection, Processing,				
Storage, and Shipping				
Missed Evaluations				
Protocol Deviations/Violations				
Ordering of Supplies				
Protocol Initiation and Enrollment				
Informed Consent Process				
Timing of Pre-Entry Period				
Exemptions				
Randomization or Enrollment				
Adverse Experience Reporting				
AER Guidelines				
Procedures and Forms				
Toxicity Management				
Receipt, Review, and File				
Investigator's Brochures				
Receipt, Review, and File Package				
Inserts				
Receipt, Review, and File Safety				
Reports				
Endpoints and Treatment Disconti	nuat	ion		
Required Evaluations				
Data Collection				
Procedures				
CRF Completion Guidelines				
Common Errors				
Corrections				
Form Update Procedures				
Missed Visits				
Disposition of Forms				

CLINICAL SITE INITIATION VISIT CHECKLIST (continued)

ITEMS VERIFIED and/or DISCUSSED	Y	N	NA	COMMENTS
Source Documentation	_			
What Is Acceptable				
Shadow Files				
Case Report Forms as Source				
Documents				
Document Retention				
Database Management				
System to be Used				
Quality Assurance Procedures				
Data Queries				
Staff to Key CRFs and Other Data				
Policy and Procedure Manuals	-			
Westat Site Monitoring Manual				
Other (list under comments)				
Regulatory Documentation		_		
Protocol Signature Page				
IRB/IEC Documentation				
IRB/IEC - Approval Letter				
IRB/IEC-Approved Informed				
Consent Form				
IRB/IEC-Approved Advertisements				
IRB/IEC-Approved Participant				
Information Sheets				
Annual Renewal				
Amendments				
IRB/IEC Roster				
Assurance Number				
Form 1572				
Laboratory Certification				
Laboratory Normal Ranges				
DHHS and FDA Regulations/GCP				
Guidelines				
OHRP Guidelines for Monitoring				
Documentation of IRB/IEC				
submission of Investigator's				
Brochures				
Documentation of IRB/IEC				
submission of Package Inserts				

CLINICAL SITE INITIATION VISIT CHECKLIST (continued)

ITEMS VERIFIED and/or DISCUSSED	Y	N	NA	COMMENTS
Documentation of IRB/IEC	1	Ī	11/1	COMMENTS
submission of Safety Reports				
Letter of Understanding or				
Confidentiality Agreement				
Submission of Data Safety and				
Monitoring Plans				
Documentation of Human				
Participants Protection Training				
DCP Reporting Requirements	_			
Amendments				
Adverse Events Reporting Using				
NCI CTC				
Case Report Forms				
Progress Reports				
Final Reports				
Biomarker Methods Report				
Record Keeping Requirements				
Participant Screening Log				
Participant Identification Logbook				
Master Signature Log				
Site Visit Log				
Original Signed Informed				
Consent Forms				
Source Documents/Confidentiality				
Study-related Correspondence				
Telephone Log				
Laboratory Procedures				
Specimen Storage and Disposition				
Shipping Procedures				
Specimen Shipping Log				
Pharmacy				
Dissemination of Information to the				
Pharmacist				
Drug Storage & Accountability				
Pharmacy Guidelines				
Current Protocol Versions				
Documentation of Informed				
Consents				
Investigator's Brochures				

CLINICAL SITE INITIATION VISIT CHECKLIST (continued)

ITEMS VERIFIED and/or DISCUSSED	Y	N	NA	COMMENTS
Safety Reports				
Communication				
Quality Assurance Plan				
Quality Assurance (QA) Procedures		ı	T	
Review QA Plan				
Maintain QA Log				
Communication				
With Westat Personnel				
With DCP Staff				
With CCSA Staff				
With Participating Sites				
Study Management				
Copy of Contract				
Budget Management and Invoicing				
Site Monitoring				
Purpose				
Frequency				
Reports				
Site Monitoring at Participating Sites (by Lead Site)				

ACTION ITEMS IDENTIFIED:

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS/GENERA	AL IMPRESSIONS OF SITE PERFO	ORMANCE:
Prepared by:		Date:
	Signature	

APPENDIX G. ANNUAL VISIT REPORT FORMS

DCP PROJECT PRELIMINARY REPORT OF AUDIT FINDINGS

Nan	ne of Clinical Site:		Date(s) of Site Visit:
Prin	cipal Investigator:		Westat Team Monitor:
Doc	ument Number:		DCP Representative(s) Present:
Inst	ructions: For the following monitoring vision		e the final assessment for each of the three components of the
1.	Assessing the IRB and In	nformed Consent Fir	adings:
	Acceptable:		
	Acceptable, Follow-up:		iciencies identified. s identified during the site visit, but not corrected and/or the site visit.
	Unacceptable:	A single major flag	iciencies identified. grant deficiency found. s of minor deficiencies found.
2.	Assessing the Accountab	ility of Investigation	al Agents and Pharmacy Operations:
	Acceptable:	correctly, protocol repository. Non-compliant item	for security, drug accountability record forms completed and drug-specific usage and/or return of study drug in DCP ms identified during the site visit that were addressed and/or the site visit for which documentation exists and no further
	Acceptable, Follow-up:		on-compliant during the site visit which was not corrected rior to the site visit.
	Unacceptable:	•	ne disposition of NCI/DCP supplied investigational agents oliant categories identified.
3.	Review of Patient Reco	ords:	
	Acceptable:	•	
	Acceptable, Follow-up:	-	iciencies identified. s identified during the site visit, but not corrected and/or the site visit.
	Unacceptable:	A single major flag	riciencies identified. grant deficiency found. ficiencies of a recurring nature found in a majority of the wed.

DCP PROJECT

CLINICAL SITE ANNUAL VISIT REPORT

I. SITE INFORMATION

Instructions: Please provide the requested information for each of the items listed below. Provide comments whenever necessary or helpful.

Name of Clinical Site:
Protocol Name:
Document Number:
Date(s) of Visit:
Conducted by:
DCP Representative(s) Present:
Clinical Site Personnel Present at the Visit:

NAME	TITLE	PRESENT AT
		DEBRIEFING (Y/N)
	Principal Investigator	
	Study Coordinator	
	Pharmacist	
	Other	

II. **REGULATORY REVIEW**

Instructions:

Please provide the requested information for each of the items listed below ("Y" = Yes, "N" = No, "N/A" = Not applicable). Please provide comments

whenever necessary or helpful.

DOCUMENTS AND STORAGE	Y	N	N/A	COMMENTS
Copy of the protocol and all pertinent amendments on file				1 12
2. Initial IRB/IEC approval of protocol				
IRB/IEC approval of most recent protocol amendments				
4. Annual IRB/IEC renewal of protocol				
5. IRB/-approved consent form and all form revisions on file				
6. Adverse Event Safety reports submitted to IRB/IEC				
7. Serious Adverse Event reports submitted to CCSA				
8. Copy of one of the following IRB/IEC compliance documents: IRB/IEC roster, DHHS #, or Assurance #				
9. Research records stored in a secure area				
10. FDA Form 1572 current				
11. Laboratory certification up-to-date				
12. Copy of normal range values for each laboratory used				
13. Investigator's Brochure(s) on file and securely stored				
14. Site Monitoring Visit log up-to-date				
15. Site Personnel Signature log up-to-date				

III. RECORD REVIEW AND SUMMARY

Instructions :	Write the patient identification number for each chart reviewed in column one. Record
	the visit week to begin review for a specific patient in the second column. Record the last
	visit reviewed for the specific patient in the third column. In the summary table, provide
	the requested information for each of the items listed ("Y" = Yes, "N" = No). Please
	provide comments whenever helpful or necessary.

Total # of Charts Reviewed: _____

SUBJECTS REVIEWED (ID #)	BEGAN REVIEW (AT WEEK)	TO VISIT (INCLUSIVE)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS FOR SITE	Y	N	COMMENTS
MONITORED CASES			
1. 100% of informed consents appropriately			As of :/
obtained and documented			
2. Participant eligibility verified			
3. Source documentation adequate			
4. Adverse events (including SAEs)			
appropriately documented and reported			
5. Endpoints correctly reported			
6. Clinical events (i.e., change in patient			
status, concurrent illness) and concomitant			
meds recorded on CRFs			
7. Clinical and laboratory evaluations obtained			
as per protocol			
8. Laboratory samples correctly collected and			
shipped/stored/evaluated			
9. Source documents and CRFs indicate			
compliance with protocol treatment and			
blinding procedure, if applicable			
10. Protocol deviations noted and reported as			
needed.			

IV. SITE OPERATIONS ASSESSMENT

Instructions: Please provide the requested information for each of the items listed below ("Y" = Yes, "N" = No, "N/A" = Not applicable). Please provide comments whenever necessary or helpful.

ITEMS EVALUATED	Y	N	N/A	COMMENTS
1. Adequate resources (e.g., facilities, staffing)				
2. Internal quality assurance activities				
3. Participant accrual and retention				
4. Database for study-specific procedures				

Additional comments:

V. <u>STATUS OF PAST FINDINGS</u>: (Have corrections been made to errors which were identified previously?)

VI. <u>DISCUSSION OF CURRENT FINDINGS WITH STAFF</u>: (Include problems identified, if any, and recommendations/action items for corrections.)

VII.	TRAINING CONDUCTED DURING VISIT: personnel present at the time of the training.)	(Include training performed and names of site
VIII.	DISCUSSION OF MONITORING ACTIVITY problems identified, if any, and recommendations	ES AT PARTICIPATING SITES: (Include /action items for corrections.)
IX.	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS/IMPRESSIONS	OF SITE PERFORMANCE:
Prepar	•	Date:
	(Signature)	

APPENDIX H. CLOSE-OUT VISIT REPORT FORMS

DCP PROJECT CLINICAL SITE CLOSE-OUT VISIT REPORT

I. SITE INFORMATION

Instructions:	Please provide the requested information for each of the items listed below. Provide comments whenever necessary or helpful.
Name of Clinical Site:	
Protocol Name:	
Contract Number:	
Date(s) of Visit:	
Conducted by:	

Clinical Site Personnel Involved with the Study:

NAME	TITLE	AVAILABLE DURING DISCUSSIONS (Y/N)
	Principal Investigator	
	Study Coordinator	
	Pharmacist	
	Other	

II. CLOSE-OUT REVIEW

Instructions:

Please provide the requested information for each of the items listed below ("Y" = Yes, "N" = No). Please provide comments whenever necessary or helpful.

OBJECTIVE	Y	N	COMMENTS
Ensure that all case report forms for each subject	_	- 1	COMMITTE
have been completed.			
2. Verify that all data have been keyed on-site or all			
forms have been submitted to the coordinating			
center. If they have not, discuss the timeline for			
accomplishing this and document in the			
comments.			
3. Review the status of all outstanding data edits,			
queries, or delinquent forms and timeline for			
their resolution.			
4. Verify that a signed, informed consent is on file			
for each study participant.			
5. Confirm that the IRB/IEC has been informed of			
the study closure			
6. Verify that all regulatory and other pertinent			
documents for the protocol (IRB approvals,			
consent documents, etc.) are up to date and on			
file.			
7. Ensure that a progress note is included in each			
participant's medical record indicating that study			
participant has ended.			
8. Verify that the investigator has plans to submit			
the final report to DCP, and that a deadline for			
completion has been identified.			
9. Ensure that the Principal Investigator			
understands the requirements for reporting of			
adverse events for subjects who have completed			
study.			
10. Ensure that the Principal Investigator and study			
coordinator have received and understand the			
requirements for retention of study records.			
11. Ensure that study drug has been returned to the			
repository.			
12. Ensure that all participant specimens have been			
shipped according to client specifications.			
13. Ensure that all required Drug Accountability has been reconciled and forms have been completed			
1			
appropriately. 14. Determine the disposition of participant			
specimens, including plans for future shipments			
or period of time they will be stored onsite.			
or period of time they will be stored onsite.			

CLOSE-OUT REVIEW (continued)

Instructions:

Please provide the requested information for each of the items listed below ("Y" = Yes, "N" = No). Please provide comments whenever necessary or helpful.

OBJECTIVE	Y	N	COMMENTS
15. If blinded study drug was used, confirm that the			
tear-off labels were not opened. For any that			
were opened, documentation should be obtained			
noting the reason for unblinding.			
16. Ensure that all unused study drug is returned to			
the client.			
Additional comments:			
Prepared by:			Date:

(Signature)

APPENDIX I. PHARMACY AUDIT REPORT

DCP PROJECT PHARMACY AUDIT REPORT

I. SITE INFORMATION

Instructions:	Please provide the requested information for each of the items listed below. Provide comments whenever necessary or helpful.
Name of Clinical Site:	
Protocol Name:	
Document Number:	
Name and Address of	Pharmacy:
Date of Audit:	
Conducted by:	
Investigational Pharma	acy Personnel:

NAME	TITLE	MET WITH MONITOR (Y/N)
	Pharmacist of Record	
	Other Staff / Title	

II. MAINTENANCE OF RECORDS

Instructions: Please provide the requested information for each of the items listed below ("Y" = Yes, "N" = No). Please provide comments whenever necessary or helpful.

ITEMS VERIFIED and/or DISCUSSED	Y	N	*NA	COMMENTS		
A. Are the following protocol-specific documents present?						
1. Form FDA 1572						
2. Prescriber signature list						
3. Most recent version of the protocol for which the site has IRB approval						
4. Participant study assignment list						
5. Drug ordering instructions						
B. Are the following records accessible or	ıly to	the s	ite ph	armacist or his/her designee?		
1. Study assignment lists						
2. Investigational agent accountability/						
inventory records						
3. Order forms/shipping receipts						
4. Participant-specific profiles, if used						

III. SECURITY AND STORAGE OF THE INVESTIGATIONAL DRUGS

ITEMS VERIFIED and/or DISCUSSED	Y	N	*NA	COMMENTS			
A. Inspect the investigational drug storage area.							
Are the investigational drugs stored according to the manufacturer's specifications?							
2. Are supplies sufficient?							
Outdated drugs are not stored together with the active drug supply.							
4. Is refrigerator and/or freezer storage available?							
a. If yes, describe location of refrigerator and/or freezer and method of monitoring temperature.							
5. Is study drug stored in a secure, limited access area?							

IV. DRUG ACCOUNTABILITY, PREPARATION AND DISPENSATION

ITEMS VERIFIED and/or DISCU	SSED	Y	N	*NA		COMMENTS	
A. Accountability							
1. Do the increases in drug inventory of	on the						
investigational accountability record	ds						
agree with the shipment receipts?							
2. Are the accountability records legib							
complete with each entry initialed b							
pharmacists of record or other author	rized						
personnel?							
3. Are there any entries in the account	ability						
records that indicate dispensing of							
investigational agents to persons oth							
participants enrolled in this/these stu							
4. If study drug is commercially availa							
are procedures in place to assure tha							
drug is not stored together with the	general						
supply?							
5. Does the inventory balance docume							
the accountability record correspond	1						
precisely with the actual physical							
inventory?	° 41				11		:1:4
a. If No, provide actual numbers of each discrepancy noted	the age	nt cot	ıntea	as we	ii as the amount	recorded on the accountab	ility record for
1 2	A 000	tal	.:1:4	Recor	. J	Inventory Amount	
Drug	Acco	umtai	omity	Recor	·u	Inventory Amount	
E14:/D:							
Explanation/Discussion	1	1	1	1 1			
6. Is the amount of drug supply on har							
reasonable based on current enrollm	ent and						
accrual rate?		l					

IV. DRUG ACCOUNTABILITY, PREPARATION AND DISPENSATION (continued)

ITEMS VERIFIED and/or DISCUSSED	Y	N	*NA	COMMENTS			
B. Drug Preparation and Dispensing	B. Drug Preparation and Dispensing						
Describe the routine procedure for dispensing study drugs.							
a. When, in relation to the participant study visit, is the study drug prepared?Describe:							
 b. How does the investigational pharmacist usually receive study drug prescriptions? Describe: 							
c. To whom does the investigational pharmacist dispense study drugs? Describe:							

٨	dditi	anal	Con	nmen	tc.
+		ини			18:

Prepared by:	Date:
(Sig	nature)

APPENDIX J. ESSENTIAL DOCUMENTS FOR THE CONDUCT OF A CLINICAL TRIAL

Essential documents are those documents that individually and collectively evaluate the conduct of a trial and the quality of the data produced. These documents demonstrate the compliance of the investigator and sponsor with the standards of Good Clinical Practice (GCP) and all applicable regulatory requirements. Note: The ICH Guidelines have been adopted by the FDA as guidances, not regulations.

The Office of Human Research Protection (OHRP) and Health and Human Services (HHS) regulations (45 CFR 46) and Good Clinical Practice recommendations apply for all trials that receive funding from a Health and Human Service agency. Trials with a Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Investigational Drug Application (IND) must additionally comply with 21 CFR regulations.

Document	Purpose	File	Regulation/Reference
Assurance Number	The institution is responsible for obtaining and maintaining a current Health and Human Services (HHS) Assurance Number through the Office of Human Research Protection (OHRP) The PI is responsible for ensuring that a current Assurance Number is in effect while conducting research on human subjects All performance sites must maintain the Assurance Number on file and obtain renewal prior to expiration	In a regulatory binder at the site A copy of the Assurance Number must be on file with the sponsor	45 CFR 46
Auditing Reports	 Document audit visits and findings of the auditor Copies of all audit visit reports are filed at the site and sent to the sponsor 	In the regulatory binder at the site	ICH Guidance: E6 Good Clinical Practice: Section 5.19.3
Case Report Form	 Signed, dated, and completed Case Report Forms (CRFs): Document that the investigator or authorized member of the investigator's staff confirms the observations recorded Document all changes/additions or corrections made to CRF after initial data were recorded Site retains copy Originals retained by sponsor after study completion and/or site closure 	In the patient's research record at the site	21 CFR 312 ICH Guidance: E6 Good Clinical Practice: Sections 8.3.14 8.3.15
Communications	 Document all relevant communications other than site visits, for example: Letters Meeting Notes Notes of Telephone Calls E-Mail Messages 	In the appropriate regulatory binder or patient's	ICH Guidance: E6 Good Clinical Practice: Section 8.3.11

Document	Purpose	File	Regulation/Reference
Communications (continued)	 Subject specific communications must be filed with source documents in the subject's research record Document any agreements or significant discussions regarding trial administration, protocol violations, trial conduct, adverse event (AE) reporting, etc. Save electronic media, originals, and/or certified copies 	research record at the site	
Consent Form	 Obtain signed informed consent forms in accordance with the protocol. They must be dated prior to participation of each subject in a trial Save all versions submitted and approved by site's institutional review board (IRB) Document revisions of the trial-related documents that take effect during the trial; save any revisions to: Informed Consent Any other written information provided to the subjects Retain consents obtained for screening purposes even if the subject was not enrolled in the study Non-English speaking subjects must be consented in a language they can understand Note: Annual Review and/or changes in consent forms due to AEs and/or Safety Memos are at the directive of the site's IRB 	IRB approved copies in the regulatory binder at the site and signed consents in the patient's research record or in the regulatory binder at the site	45 CFR 46 21 CFR 50 21 CFR 56 ICH Guidance: E6 Good Clinical Practice: Sections 8.3.12 8.2.3 8.3.2
Curriculum Vitae	 Document the qualifications and eligibility of the investigator(s) subinvestigator(s), and other key personnel to conduct a trial and/or to provide medical supervision of subjects Available for all investigators, subinvestigators, any other person listed on FDA 1572 Form, and other key personnel at the site Submit updated/revised investigator(s) and subinvestigator(s) CV to the PIO 	In the regulatory binder at the site	ICH Guidance: E6 Good Clinical Practice: Sections 8.2.10 8.3.5
FDA 1572 Form	 Document that the Investigator of Record (IoR) agrees to conduct the trial according to the obligations stated in the form Update as study personnel and/or other data on the form changes The original version and any updated forms must be retained as per regulatory requirements The Investigator in box 1 of FDA 1572 Form is the individual who must sign and date the signature box Only laboratories specified in the protocol need to be listed in Section 4 	In the regulatory binder at the site	21 CFR 312

Document	Purpose	File	Regulation/Reference
FDA 1572 Form (continued)	 6. Section 6 must list any individual: Responsible for conducting/ performing study visits Authorized to prescribe study medication This may include but is not limited to the following: MDs Pharmacist of Record Nurse Practitioner Physician's Assistant Study Coordinator Research Nurse If there are no individuals that need to be listed, then write "NONE" 		
Final Close Out Monitoring Report	Final report by investigator is sent to the IRB where required and, where applicable, to the regulatory authorities, to document completion of the trial. Included is the following information: Disposition of the subjects Location of the research records Disposition of the specimens Disposition of the study drugs Other information as required by the institution or local IRB (e.g., number of patients screened, number enrolled, serious adverse experiences)	In the regulatory binder at the site	ICH Guidance: E6 Good Clinical Practice: Sections 4.13 8.4.5 8.4.7
Financial Disclosure	 Document the financial aspects of the trial and the financial agreement between the investigator/institution and the sponsor for the trial Certification or disclosure statement to: Certify that there is no financial interest or Disclose specific financial interests of Investigators and subinvestigators listed on FDA Form 1572, as well as their spouses and dependent children Local institution/IRB and/or Group SOPs may have additional requirements 	In the regulatory binder at the site	ICH Guidance: E6 Good Clinical Practice: Section 8.2.4
Investigational Drug Brochures (IDBs) and Safety Package Inserts	 Document that relevant and current scientific information about the investigational product has been provided to the investigator Include updates to document that investigator is informed in a timely manner of relevant information as it becomes available Keep a copy on file for EACH study medication used within the protocol Include the following: The most recent version Addendum to IDBs Safety letters Some IDBs must be shredded per protocol/sponsor. Some studies require that a historical trail of IDBs and their individual IRB letters of acknowledgement be retained 	In the regulatory binder at the site and in the pharmacy	ICH Guidance: E6 Good Clinical Practice: Sections 8.2.1 8.3.1

Document	Purpose	File	Regulation/Reference
Investigational Product/Study Drug Accountability	 The Pharmacist of Record must keep records to account for the disposition of investigational products/study drugs by documenting the following: Shipment dates Batch number Document tracking of: Product batch Review of shipping conditions Accountability Document that the investigational products have been used according to the protocol Document the final accounting of investigational products: Received at the site Dispensed to subjects Returned by the subjects Returned to the sponsor Destroyed by the site 	In the pharmacy records at the site	ICH Guidance: E6 Good Clinical Practice: Sections 8.2.15 8.3.8 8.3.23 8.4.1
IRB Correspondence	 Copies of all materials submitted to the IRB with dated proof of submission and IRB approval (when appropriate) for the following: Advertisements: document that recruitment measures are appropriate and not coercive All versions of consent forms All protocols and amendments Annual reports to the IRB IND safety reports/Adverse Event Report Initial protocol submission Investigational drug brochure or safety package inserts Protocol specific education material Subject compensation Any other documents receiving IRB approval or their favorable opinion Any other written information to be provided to subjects will be given appropriate written information (content and wording) to support their ability to give fully informed consent Any other pertinent communications with the IRB 	In the regulatory binder at the site	45 CFR 46 21 CFR 50 21 CFR 56 ICH Guidance: E6 Good Clinical Practice: Sections 3.1.4 4.10 5.17.3 8.2.3 8.2.7 8.3.2 8.3.3 8.3.19

Document	Purpose	File	Regulation/Reference
IRB Membership List	 Document that composition of IRB/independent ethics committee (IEC) is in agreement with Good Clinical Practice (GCP) Update when members change and as required by local institution/IRB policy Investigator needs current IRB composition on files: Titles Affiliation Names are not necessary 	In the regulatory binder at the site	45 CFR 46 21 CFR 50 21 CFR 56 ICH Guidance: E6 Good Clinical Practice: Section 8.2.8
Laboratory	 Document competence of facility to perform required tests, and support reliability of results of medical/laboratory/technical procedures/tests: Certification or Accreditation Update when certifications expire or laboratory changes to document that tests remain adequate throughout the trial period Established quality control and/or external quality assessment Document normal values/ranges for medical/laboratory/technical procedures/tests included in the protocol Update documentation of normal values/ranges when they are revised during the trial The reference ranges and certifications must be on file for the following listings: Local or central laboratories that analyze specimens for the study Any group central laboratory 	In the regulatory binder at the site	ICH Guidance: E6 Good Clinical Practice: Sections 8.2.11 8.2.12 8.3.6 8.3.7
Screening and Enrollment Randomization Logs	 Document identification of subjects who entered pretrial screening Document chronological enrollment of subjects by number Screening and enrollment/ randomization logs may be separate or combined Include the following information: Initials of all patients screened for each study PID number Date screened Date randomized If not randomized, indicate reason 	In the screening files or protocol files at the site	ICH Guidance: E6 Good Clinical Practice: Sections 8.3.21 8.4.3
Subject Identification Code List	 Document that the investigator keeps a confidential list of names of all subjects allocated to trial numbers upon enrolling in a trial Allows investigator/institution to permit identification of all subjects enrolled in the trial in case follow up is required List needs to be kept in a confidential manner and for agreed upon time 	In the protocol file at site	ICH Guidance: E6 Good Clinical Practice: Sections 8.3.21 8.4.3
Serious Adverse Events (SAE)	 Notification by originating investigator to sponsor of serious adverse events, related reports, and other safety information Notification by sponsor to investigators of safety information 	In regulatory file at site	45 CFR 46 21 CFR 50 21 CFR 56

Document	Purpose	File	Regulation/Reference
Serious Adverse Events (SAE) (continued)	3. Notification by sponsor and/or investigator, where applicable, to regulatory authorities and IRB of unexpected serious adverse drug reactions and of other safety information		21 CFR 312 ICH Guidance: E6 Good Clinical Practice: Sections 4.11 5.16.2 5.17 8.3.16 8.3.17 8.3.18
Signature Log	 Document signatures and initials of all persons authorized to make entries and/or corrections on CRFs. Include all site staff working on a study, such as: Clinicians Physicians Pharmacists Data Personnel Include on the log: Initials Legal signature, including first and last name Printed signature Credentials (if appropriate) 	In the regulatory file at the site	ICH Guidance: E6 Good Clinical Practice: Section 8.3.24
Source Documents	 Document the existence of the subject and substantiate integrity of trial data collected Original documents and/or certified copies of documents related to the trial, medical treatment, and history of the subject Must be signed and dated 	As per requirements of local institutions	21 CFR 11 21 CFR 312 ICH Guidance: E6 Good Clinical Practice: Section 8.3.13
Unblinding	 Decoding procedures for blinded trials to document how, in case of an emergency, identity of blinded investigational product can be revealed without breaking the blind for the remaining subjects' treatments Document any decoding that may have occurred at the site during the trial 	In the protocol files at the site or in the pharmacy files and in the patient record	ICH Guidance: E6 Good Clinical Practice: Sections 8.2.17 8.4.6